Ghaydaa Hashim Al Qudsi *1, Hussein Sh. Al-Essa ² and Sundus Abdul Wadood Aljazaeri ³

¹Department of Oral Diagnosis, University of Basrah, College of Dentistry, Basrah, Iraq.

² Department of Oral Diagnosis, University of Basrah, College of Dentistry, Basrah, Iraq

³ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Basrah, Basrah, College of Dentistry, Iraq

Email: ghaydaaalqudsi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objectives: the study investigates the clinical appearance of oral lichen planus (OLP) in a selective sample in Basrah city and compere with other studies.

Materials and Methods: The study included 45 patients with clinically and histopathologically confirmed OLP collected from Oral Diagnosis Department, Oral Medicine Clinic at the College of Dentistry, Basrah University during the period between October 2017 and October 2019. Registered authors regarding age, gender, clinical type, site and the presence of dysplasia.

Results: Of 45 patients with OLP, 27(60%) were females, and 18(40%) were males. The predominance of patients was in the fourth to sixth decades of age. Buccal mucosa was the leading site of contribution 23(51.1%). The erosive structure was the regular clinical sort found in 18(40%), trailed by reticular in 17(37.7%), atrophic in 5(11.1%) and plaque-like in 5(11.1%). The disease caused pain and other symptoms in 25(55.6%) patients. Histopathological study shows Dysplastic changes of OLP was in 4 (8.9%) of the cases.

Conclusions: OLP is a chronic disease with diverse clinical manifestation and multiple site involvement. In almost half of the cases were symptomatic with the erosive form experience significant discomfort—few cases presented with dysplasia. Periodic follow-up examination of all patients with OLP recommended.

INTRODUCTION

Lichen planus is one of the most generally perceived dermatological diseases considered being a moderately chronic inflammatory mucocutaneous[1,2]. The prevalence of oral lichen planus is between 0.1% and 2.2%[3]. The oral variant, Oral lichen planus (OLP) most habitually influences women somewhere in the third to sixth decades of life[4]. (OLP) affecting the oral mucosa with distinct relapses and remissions[5]. Furthermore, OLP occurs more frequently than cutaneous form, and it is of a more persisting and more resisting to treatment[6]. The particular purpose behind OLP is not clear, although the immunologic system expects the principal function in its pathogenesis, where auto-cytotoxic T lymphocytes trigger apoptosis of epithelial cells provoking chronic inflammation[7,8]. OLP consider as a multifactorial process containing occasions that may occur at the various time point[9]. Haematological anomalies are present in patients with OLP. Nutrient vitamins B12 and folic acid are significant elements for the exact capacity of the human immune system [10,11]. Mental factors, for example, stress; may likewise be of significance to establish the inflammatory process and proposed a relationship with the lack of nutrient vitamins B1, B6, C and OLP[11,12].

Starting late a connection between oral lichen planus and hepatitis C infection and this association related to a hereditary inconstancy between nations; this maintained by the discernment that specific alleles of the massive histocompatibility complex (HLA-DR6)[13].

Oral lichen planus disease affecting the various site of the oral cavity with the buccal mucosa, usually bilateral, being the most typical site followed by the tongue and gingiva. The clinical variations of OLP incorporate reticular, erosive, atrophic, bullous, papular, and plaquelike, with the reticular variation being the commonest **Keywords:** Oral lichen planus, Clinical features, Histopathological finding, Dysplastic change

Correspondence:

Ghaydaa Hashim Al Qudsi Department of Oral Diagnosis, University of Basrah, College of Dentistry, Basrah, Iraq

Email: ghaydaaalqudsi@gmail.com

one[14]. It is not remarkable for a similar patient to give different types of OLP[2].

Diagnosis of OLP made on the clinical and histopathological basis. Histopathology described by lopsided epidermal/epithelial thickness, basal cell degeneration and a band-like infiltrate, involving mononuclear cells at the dermo-epidermal junction. Because of the expanded danger of squamous cell carcinoma in an ulcerative type, ordinary follow up ought to be done, and change in symptoms should be reported[15].

The danger of malignant change varies between 0.4 - 5% of times of perception from 0.5 to 20 years[16],], rely upon the clinical sort of OLP, or the treatment utilized. However, the remaining parts some stress overtreatment with the immunosuppressive drugs that could theoretically weaken defences[17].

The current investigation done to examine the histopathologically analyzed instances of OLP as far as age, sexual orientation, clinical variation, site, and the presence of dysplastic changes in a particular example in Basrah city.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study carried out during the period between October 2017 and October 2019 in Basrah city; Oral Diagnosis Department, Oral Medicine Clinic at the College of Dentistry, Basrah University. A total of (45) patients were in cooperated in this study, the female constitutes 27 (60 %), while the male 18 (40 %). An educated assent structure got for every patient, after giving definite and portrayal the point of the examination for all patients. The diagnosis of OLP done by documented data of the patient (personal information, past medical and dental history, signs and symptoms, onset and duration of the complaint). Extraoral and intraoral examination (site and size of the lesions) by oral medicine specialist. In the oral

between 2017-2019

- maxillofacial department, an Incisional biopsy taken for all patient from a specific region of the lesion. All biopsies examined in the histopathological laboratory in the college. The histologic rules for analysis included hyperorthokeratosis or parakeratosis, degenerative changes of basal cells, the presence of Civatte bodies and a band-like subepithelial invasion of lymphocytes. The information got were Statistically analyzed utilizing the (SPSS) programming for windows version 20.

RESULTS

Among 45 patients had OLP; 27 (60%) were female, and 18 (40%) were male (Figure 1). In this study, most cases were at age group 46- 65 years 18(40%) females and 10(22.2%) male, while the age group between 25-45 years represented about 8(17.8%) females and 3(6.7%) males, above 65 years represented only (13.3%) as shown in (Table 1), (Figure 2).

Buccal mucosa considers as a predominant site 23(51.1%) distributed 13(28.9%) in females and 10(22.2%) in males. Regarding multiple locations, the predominant was buccal mucosa – tongue 14(31.1%), seen in female10(22.2%) and 4(8.9%) in male, 4(8.9%), in buccal mucosa - gingiva 4(8.9%) and only 2(4.4%) for both buccal mucosa-floor of the mouth and buccal mucosatongue-gingiva (Table 1). About 25(55.6%) has Painfull symptom 17(37.8%) seen in females and 8(17.8%) in male (Figure 3). Most of the patients were healthy, forming 39(86.7%), only 5(11.1%) were diabetic and one with chemotherapy. Smoker patient was 10(22.2%) patients , males 8(17.8%) and 2(4.4%) females. Only 4(8.9%) of patients have dysplasia,

3(6.7%) in females and 1(2.2%) in male, shown in (Table

		Sex		Total	P-value	
		Male	Female			
Age	25-45	3	8	11		
		6.7%	17.8%	24.4%		
	46-65	10	18	28		
		22.2%	40.0%	62.2%	0.067	
	above 65	5	1	6		
		11.1%	2.2%	13.3%		
	buccal mucosa	10	13	23		
		22.2%	28.9%	51.1%		
	buccal mucosa & Tongue	4	10	14		
		8.9%	22.2%	31.1%		
Sito	Buccal mucosa & gingiva	3	1	4	0.405	
Site		6.7%	2.2%	8.9%	0.405	
	Buccal mucosa & Floor of	1	1	2		
	the mouth	2.2%	2.2%	4.4%]	
	Buccal mucosa, Tongue &	0	2	2		
	Gingiva	0.0%	4.4%	4.4%		
	painful	8	17	25		
		17.8%	37.8%	55.6%		
symptom	Asymptomatic	10	10	20	0.179	
		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		
Healthy pati	ents	16	23	39		
		35.6%	51.1%	86.7%		
Diabetic		2	3	5		
		4.4%	6.7%	11.1%	0.890	
Chemotherapy		0	1	1		
		0.0%	2.2%	2.2%		
Smoker	No	10	25	35		
		22.2%	55.6%	77.8%]	
	Yes	8	2	10	0.005	
		17.8%	4.4%	22.2%		
Dysplasia	negative	17	24	41		
		37.8%	53.3%	91.1%		
	Positive	1	3	4	0.471	
		2.2%	6.7%	8.9%		

Table. 1: Demographic characteristic of sex groups for the study sample.

1).

No significant difference between the types of OLP, Erosive OLP was 18(40%), in female 11(24.4) and 7(15.6%) in male. Reticular OLP was 17(37.7%) in females 11(24.4%) while in male 6(13.3%) and 5(11.1%)

for both Atrophic and plague OLP. Most of OLP type 28(62.2%) seen in age groups between 46-65 years as 11(24.4%) reticular, 9(20.%) erosive, follows: 5(11.1%)atrophic and 3(6.7%) plague, (Table 2).

Symptomatic complaint associated with erosive type 18(40%), atrophic 5(11.1%) and just 2(4.4%) recorded with reticular type, and it is statically significant (Figure 4). Only 10(22.2%) patients was smoker, 4(8.9%) has a reticular type, 3(6.7%) with erosive type, 2(4.4%) atrophic and 1(2.2%) plague types. Dysplastic changes

found in 3(6.7%) patients with reticular OLP, and only 1(2.2%) in erosive type (Figure 5). The distribution of the lesion 23(51.1%) bilateral, 10(22.2%) erosive and 8(17.8%) reticular, 10(22.2%) seen in the left side and 12(26.7%) seen in the right side, see (Table 2).

	Туре					Total	P-value
		Erosive	Reticular	Atrophic	Plague		
Sex	Male	7	6	1	4	18	
		15.6%	13.3%	2.2%	8.9%	40.0%	0.201
	Female	11	11	4	1	27	0.291
		24.4%	24.4%	8.9%	2.2%	60.0%	
	25-45	5	5	0	1	11	
		11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	2.2%	24.4%	
	46-65	9	11	5	3	28	
Age		20.0%	24.4%	11.1%	6.7%	62.2%	0.375
	above 65	4	1	0	1	6	
		8.9%	2.2%	0.0%	2.2%	13.3%	
	Negative	17	14	5	5	41	
Duculacia		37.8%	31.1%	11.1%	11.1%	91.1%	0.469
Dyspiasia	Positive	1	3	0	0	4	
		2.2%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.9%	
	Painful	18	2	5	0	25	
cumptom		40.0%	4.4%	11.1%	0.0%	55.6%	0.001
symptom	Asymptomatic	0	15	0	5	20	
		0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	11.1%	44.4%	
	No	15	13	3	4	35	
Generalization		33.3%	28.9%	6.7%	8.9%	77.8%	0.600
SIIIOKEI	Yes	3	4	2	1	10	0.090
		6.7%	8.9%	4.4%	2.2%	22.2%	
Bilateral		10	8	3	2	23	
		22.2%	17.8%	6.7%	4.4%	51.1%	
Left side		3	5	0	2	10	0 3 1 9
		6.7%	11.1%	0.0%	4.4%	22.2%	
Right side		5	4	2	1	12	
		11.1%	8.9%	4.4%	2.2%	26.7%	

Table. 2: Demographic characteristic of OLP groups for the study sample.

This study show dysplasia in 4(8.9%) patients only, 2(4.4%) in both age group 25-45 years and 46-65 years, 3(6.7%)in female and only 1(2.2%) in male, all of the dysplastic changes reported were in the buccal mucosa, 3(6.7%) seen in the left side and only 1(2.2%) in the right

side. Two patients with dysplastic changes were smokers, and only two patient with dysplastic changes has a complaint all dysplasia associated with healthy patients (Table 3).

Fable. 3: Demographic chara	acteristic of dysplasia	for the study sample.
-----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

		Dysplasia		Tatal	P-value
		Negative	Positive	Total	
Age	25-45	9	2	11	0.520
		20.00%	4.40%	24.40%	
	46-65	26	2	28	
		57.80%	4.40%	62.20%	
	above 65	6	0	6	
		13.30%	0.00%	13.30%	
	Male	17	1	18	0.471

		1		1	
Sex		37.80%	2.20%	40.00%	
	Fomalo	24	3	27	
	remate	53.30%	6.70%	60.00%	
	buccal mucosa	19	4	23	
		42.20%	8.90%	51.10%	
	buccal mucosa &	14	0	14	
	Tongue	31.10%	0.00%	31.10%	0.461
	Buccal mucosa & gingiva	4	0	4	
		8.90%	0.00%	8.90%	
Site	Buccal mucosa & Floor of the mouth	2	0	2	
		4.40%	0.00%	4.40%	
	Buccal mucosa. Tongue	2	0	2]
	& Gingiva	4.40%	0.00%	4.40%	1
	Bilateral	23	0	23	0.096
		51.10%	0.00%	51.10%	
	Left side	7	3	10	
Side		15.60%	6.70%	22.20%	
	Right side	11	1	12	
		24.40%	2.20%	26.70%	
Smoker	no	33	2	35	
		73.30%	4.40%	77.80%	0.1(2)
	yes	8	2	10	0.162
		17.80%	4.40%	22.20%	
Symptoms	Painful	23	2	25	
		51.10%	4.40%	55.60%	0.815
	Asymptomatic	18	2	20	
	Asymptomatic	40.00%	4.40%	44.40%	
Healthy patients		35	4	39	
		77.80%	8.90%	86.70%	





Figure 1: Sex distribution in the study.



Figure 2: Age groups concerning sex.



Figure 3: Distribution of symptoms in sex.



Figure 4: Symptoms concerning the types of OLP.



Dysplasia

Figure 5: Dysplasia concerning the types of OLP.

DISCUSSION

The present study involved 45 OLP patients diagnosed based on both clinical and histopathological criteria. In the current examination, The predominance of OLP in female patients 27(60%) saw in the fourth to sixth decade 28(62.2%) agree with other studies reports[1,8,18–24]. Sex hormones are known to assume a

role in the immune reaction of females; estrogens support the humeral insusceptibility yet differently affect cellmediated immunity which represents the principal part in the[25]. Estrogen has appeared to adjust all subsets of T-cells that incorporate CD4+ (Th1, Th2, Th17, and Tregs) and CD8+ cells; also, estrogen advances the extension and recurrence of Treg cells, which assume a significant role

between 2017-2019

downregulating immune responses[25]. in The relationship of stress or anxiety to the development of lichen planus is dubious, a few investigations that have applied psychological surveys regularly find expanded degrees of nervousness in these patients; in any case, numerous patients who have informed that they have lichen planus know that tension has connected to the problem[3]. Iraqi people suffered from problematic situations like a sharp ascent in neediness, poor sanitation and water supplies; around 66% of families not associated with working sewage frameworks, helpless sustenance, a decrease in instructive enrolment and participation, undesirable ways of life, significant levels of smoking, unfortunate eating routine, absence of activity, the disintegration of preventive health programs and a severe decrease in the availability and nature of health administrations, every one of these variables will expand the OLP and other oral sores in Irag[26].

In all studies, the reticular type is more predominant than other types[1,19,21,27–33], while in this study the erosive type is 18 case (40%) while the reticular type was 17 case (37.7%), agree with[34,35], because the erosive form is symptomatic and, therefore, the patient is more likely to be referred to an academic centre for evaluation. The explanation of awkward during eating and drinking in people with erosive lichen planus identified with pain or burning sensation, especially with limits of temperature, acidic, coarse, or spicy foods which can influence any mucosal surface, including the buccal mucosa, tongue, and gums[36].

The most typical site is buccal mucosa (51.1%) as in other studies[1,18-21,36]. The buccal mucosa is nonkeratinized, and there are several oral mucosal diseases of uncertain aetiology, such as recurrent aphthous stomatitis, lichen planus, and erythema multiforme, where antigens derived from the local oral flora or food have implicated as possible causes of immunologically mediated mucosal damage[37]. Similarly, the concept of a standard mucosal immune system in which antigens that penetrate the surface can transport to mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue for processing and presentation to antibody-secreting cells, raises the question of the importance of oral mucosal permeability to proteins[37]. The mechanism of mucosal damage in patients with conditions such as lichen planus and those mentioned above may involve complexing of food or bacterialderived antigens by antibodies that would lead to activation of complement, causes chemotaxis of polymorphonuclear leukocytes and release of activated lysosomal enzymes that bring about tissue damage[38].

As had been reported in other studies[8,39], some of the patients showed multiple sites of oral involvement with OLP, shown in (Table 1).In our research, bilateral presentation of OLP 23 (51.1%) was half of the cases, the unilateral occurrence of lichen planus observed on right side 12 patients (26.7%) and 10 cases (22.2%) on left side unlike accordance with the studies done by[19,40,41].

OLP lesions are symptomatic in nearly half of the cases (55.6%), and the erosive form was the most painful lesions (40%), with a statistically significant difference. It caused pain, burning sensation and soreness in almost all of the patients agree with [1,42].

About (86.7%) of the patients in this study did not show any associated systemic illness. Diabetes mellitus most common systemic disease encountered (11.1%), this agrees with[41,43], followed by one case of female treated by chemotherapy. No measurably marked contrasts could distinguish among OLP and diabetes; the reason may be due to small sample size. Study in 1998 proposed may be related to immunologic changes to the endocrine dysfunction in diabetes mellitus; also contributes to the development of OLP; however, this association has not proved in other investigations[44].

Some of our studied patients, 10(22.2%) reported a dominant habit of smoking, agree with[32]. The relationship of tobacco smoking with OLP not perceived, It conjectured that the warmth and irritation of smoking might exasperate indicative OLP lesions, and the risk of malignant transformation-related with tobacco use may assume a part in patients stopping tobacco use that explain the fewer smokers in patients with OLP[35]. Other study showed no statistically significant difference in clinical and pain scores between the smoker and nonsmoker groups. However, there was a considerable difference immuno-expression between the smoker and the non-smoker groups, (TLR-2 and CD34) articulation in OLP which are considered as inflammatory mediators and are contributing components in the pathogenesis of oral lichen planus[45].

In our study, of 45 patients, 4 (8.9%) showed evidence of dysplasia (three females and one male) which was higher than other studies 7%[41] and 3.13%[46] reports in India, while less than study in Iran reported dysplastic changes in (10.71%) of their cases[47]. The higher rate of dysplasia could be associated with the possible more time exposure to the carcinogenic causative factors. Most of the dysplastic changes (6.7%) were associated with the reticular OLP, agree with study in the UK but with a low rate of dysplasia (1.9%)[30] and (2.9%) in the review in Switzerland[48], but disagree with other studies that reported dysplasia in the erosive OLP[2,4,8,19,21-23]. No significant degree of epithelial atypia expected in oral lichen planus, although lesions having a superimposed candidal infection may appear especially with reticular lichen planus typically produces no symptoms, in which case they may complain of a burning sensation of the oral should mucosa. these cases be reevaluated histopathologically after the candidal infection treated[3]. Moreover, this study reported that there was not a statistically significant difference between patients with dysplastic changes and gender, and the buccal mucosa is the more general site that affected with dysplastic modifications [33].

However, the long-term follow up of the disease is needed for a proper evaluation for malignant potential.

CONCLUSION

OLP is a chronic mucosal disease with diverse clinical manifestations. It affects females between the fourth and sixth decades of age. OLP mostly affects buccal mucosa, but multiple site involvement is frequent. Erosive lesions were the most frequent, followed by the reticular type. Dysplastic changes occurred mainly in the reticular form. Long –term follow up is recommended to assess the malignant potential.

REFERENCES

- 1 Bajaj DR, Khoso NA, Devrajani BR, *et al.* Oral lichen planus: A clinical study. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pakistan* 2010;**20**:154–7. doi:03.2010/JCPSP.154157
- 2 Parashar P. Oral lichen planus. Otolaryngol. Clin. North Am. 2011;**44**:89–107.

doi:10.1016/j.otc.2010.09.004

- 3 Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CR BJ. Oral and maxillofacial pathology. 3rd ed. Elsevier 2008. https://www.elsevier.com/books/oral-andmaxillofacial-pathology/neville/978-1-4160-3435-3
- 4 Pakfetrat A, Javadzadeh-Bolouri A, Samira Basir-Shabestari, *et al.* Oral lichen planus: A retrospective study of 420 Iranian patients. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*

2009;14:315.http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoral free01/v14i5/medoralv14i7p315.pdf

- 5 Mccullough MJ, Alrashdan MS. *Contemporary Oral Medicine*. 2017. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-28100-1
- 6 Mollaoglu N. Oral lichen planus: a review. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2000;**38**:370–7. doi:doi: 10.1054/bjom.2000.0335.
- 7 Lodi G, Scully C, Carrozzo M, *et al.* Current controversies in oral lichen planus: Report of an international consensus meeting. Part 2. Clinical management and malignant transformation. In: *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology.* Elsevier 2005. 164–78. doi:10.1016/j.tripleo.2004.06.076
- 8 Ali S, Fouad A, Bayati A. Oral Lichen planus : A clinical study of 123 patients attending an Oral Medicine Clinic , Baghdad University , Iraq. 2012;**1**:10– 4.gulfmedicaljournal.com/download/Oral_Lichen_pla nus_A_clinical_study_of_123_patients_attending_an_Or al_Medicine_Clinic.pdf
- 9 Sugerman PB, Savage NW. Oral lichen planus: Causes, diagnosis and management. Aust. Dent. J. 2002;**47**:290–7. doi:10.1111/j.1834-7819.2002.tb00540.x
- 10 Challacombe SJ. Haematological abnormalities in oral lichen planus, candidiasis, leukoplakia and nonspecific stomatitis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1986;15:72–80. doi:10.1016/S0300-9785(86)80013-8
- 11 Sahebjamee M, Beitollahi JM, Mansourian A, *et al.* Assessment of serum vitamin B12 and folic acid in patients with oral lichen planus: a case control study. *J Dent* 2010;**10**:36– 9.http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true &AuthType=ip,shib&db=jlh&AN=2010658931&site=e host-live
- 12 Beena Varma R, Valappila NJ, Pai A, *et al.* Oral Lichen Planus: Is Vitamin D Defi ciency a Predisposing Factor? A Case Report. *Int J Sci c Study* 2014;**2**:2–4.
- 13 Andreasen JO. Oral lichen planus. I. A clinical evaluation of 115 cases. *Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1968;**25**:31–42. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(68)90194-1
- 14 Zheng-Yu Shen , Wei Liu , Lai-Kuan Zhu , Jin-Qiu Feng G-YT, Planus Z-TZ. A retrospective clinicopathological study on oral lichen Cir, and malignant transformation: Analysis of 518 cases. *Med Oral Patol Oral* 2012.
- 15 Shahzadi N, Altaf F, Raffad, et al. Association of hepatitis C virus with various forms of lichen planus. J Pakistan Assoc Dermatologists 2019;29:110– 3.https://www.jpad.com.pk/index.php/jpad/article/v iew/1412
- 16 Van Der Meij EH, Schepman KP, Smeele LE, *et al.* A review of the recent literature regarding malignant transformation of oral lichen planus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1999;**88**:307–10. doi:10.1016/s1079-2104(99)70033-8

- 17 S. Gandolfo, L. Richiardi, M. Carrozo, R. Broccoletti, M. Carbone, M. Pagano, C. Vestita, S. Rossso, F. Merleti, Carlos R, Contreras E. Risk of squamous cell carcinoma in 402 patients with oral lichen planus: A follow-up in an Italian population,. Oral Oncol. 2004;40:964. doi:10.1016/j.oraloncology.2004.07.002
- 18 Bouquot JE, Muller S, Nikai H. Lesions of the Oral Cavity. In: Diagnostic Surgical Pathology of the Head and Neck. Elsevier 2009. 191–308. doi:10.1016/B978-1-4160-2589-4.00004-8
- 19 Varghese SS, George GB, Sarojini SB, et al. Epidemiology of Oral Lichen Planus in a Cohort of South Indian Population: A Retrospective Study. J Cancer Prev 2016;21:55–9. doi:10.15430/jcp.2016.21.1.55
- 20 Gowhar O, Ain TS, Sultan S. Prevalence of Oral Lichen Planus : A Hospital based Study. *Int J Contemp Med Res* 2019;**6**:19–21.
 - doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/ijcmr.2019.6.5.19
- 21 Mostafa B, Ahmed E. Prevalence of oral lichen planus among a sample of the Egyptian population. *J Clin Exp Dent* 2015;7:e7–12. doi:10.4317/jced.51875
- 22 Usatine RP, Tinitigan M. Diagnosis and treatment of lichen planus. *Am Fam Physician* 2011;**84**:53–60. doi:http://family.doctor.org/600.xml
- 23 Thongprasom K. Oral lichen planus: Challenge and management. Oral Dis. 2018;**24**:172–3. doi:10.1111/odi.12712
- 24 Abdul S, Aljazaeri W, Hashim G, *et al.* Biopsy records to the oral lesions in Basrah between 2012-2017. *J Oral Med Oral Surgery, Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2020;**6**:74–80. doi:https://doi.org/10.18231/j.jooo.2020.018
- 25 Mohan RPS, Gupta A, Kamarthi N, *et al.* Incidence of oral lichen planus in perimenopausal women: A cross-sectional study in Western Uttar Pradesh population. *J Midlife Health* 2017;8:70–4. doi:10.4103/imh.IMH 34 17
- 26 Stephenson J. Health in Iraq. *JAMA* 2007;**297**:2069. doi:10.1001/jama.297.19.2069-c
- 27 Nagao T, Ikeda N, Fukano H, *et al.* Incidence rates for oral leukoplakia and lichen planus in a Japanese population. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2005;**34**:532–9. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.2005.00349.x
- 28 Feng J, Zhou Z, Shen X, et al. Prevalence and distribution of oral mucosal lesions: A cross-sectional study in Shanghai, China. J Oral Pathol Med 2015;44:490-4. doi:10.1111/jop.12264
- 29 Xue JL, Fan MW, Wang SZ, *et al.* A clinical study of 674 patients with oral lichen planus in China. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2005;**34**:467–72. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.2005.00341.x
- 30 Ingafou M, Leao JC, Porter SR, *et al.* Oral lichen planus: A retrospective study of 690 British patients. *Oral Dis* 2006;**12**:463–8. doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2005.01221.x
- 31 Kövesi G, Bánóczy J. Follow-up studies in oral lichen planus. Int J Oral Surg 1973;2:13–9. doi:10.1016/S0300-9785(73)80012-2
- 32 Axéll T, Rundquist L. Oral lichen planus a demographic study. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1987;15:52–6. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0528.1987.tb00480.x

outcome. View project Adva. *Quintessence Int* Published Online First: 2005.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/718 6193 (accessed 1 Sep 2020).

- 33 O'Donovan A, Cohen BE, Seal KH, *et al.* Elevated risk for autoimmune disorders in iraq and afghanistan veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2015;77:365–74. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.06.015
- 34 Irani S, Esfahani A, Ghorbani A. Dysplastic change rate in cases of oral lichen planus: A retrospective study of 112 cases in an Iranian population. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2016;20:395–9. doi:10.4103/0973-029X.190911
- 35 Gorsky M, Epstein JB, Hasson-Kanfi H, *et al.* Smoking Habits Among Patients Diagnosed with Oral Lichen Planus. *Tob Induc Dis* 2004;**2**:9. doi:10.1186/1617-9625-2-9
- 36 Olson MA, Rogers RS, Bruce AJ. Oral lichen planus. *Clin* Dermatol 2016;34:495–504. doi:10.1016/j.clindermatol.2016.02.023
- 37 Gaby AR. Food Allergy and Intolerance. In: Integrative Medicine: Fourth Edition. London;;Philadelphia: : Bailliel re Tindall 2018. 310-318.e6. doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-35868-2.00031-1
- 38 Squier CA. The permeability of oral mucosa. Crit. Rev. Oral Biol. Med. 1991;2:13-32. doi:10.1177/10454411910020010301
- 39 Sousa FA RL. Oral lichen planus: clinical and hi s topa thologica l cons ider a t ions. *Br az J Otorhinolaryngol* 2008;**74**:284-92.
- 40 Radochová V, Drízhal I SR. A retrospective study of 171 patients with oral lichen planus in the East Bohemia. *J Clin Exp Dent* 2014;**6**:556–61.
- 41 Bandyopadhyay A, Behura SS, Nishat R, *et al.* Clinicopathological profile and malignant transformation in oral lichen planus: A retrospective study. J. Int. Soc. Prev. Community Dent. 2017;7:116– 24. doi:10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_103_17
- 42 Jing-Ling Xue 1, Ming-Wen Fan, Shuo-Zhi Wang, Xin-Ming Chen, Yuan Li LW. A clinical study of 674 patients with oral lichen planus in China. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2005;**34**:467–72.
- 43 C.S. H. *Diseases of the Skin.* 5th ed. New Delhi: 1935. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.1935.tb10258.x
- 44 Otero Rey EM, Yáñez-Busto A, Rosa Henriques IF, *et al.* Lichen planus and diabetes mellitus: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oral Dis* 2019;**25**:1253–64. doi:10.1111/odi.12977
- 45 Amin NR, Yussif N, Ahmed E. The effect of smoking on clinical presentation and expression of TLR-2 and CD34 in Oral lichen Planus patients: Clinical and immunohistochemical study. *BMC Oral Health* 2020;**20**:1–7. doi:10.1186/s12903-020-01118-2
- 46 Murti PR, Daftary DK, Bhonsle RB, Gupta PC, Mehta FS PJ. Malignant potential of oral lichen planus: Observations in 722 patients from India. *J Oral Pathol* 1986;**15**:71–7.
- 47 Irani S, Esfahani AM GA population. Dysplastic change rate in cases of oral lichen planus: A retrospective study of 112 cases in an Iranian. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol* 2016;**20**:395–9.
- 48 Bornstein M, Altermatt HJ, Rees T, *et al.* Oral lichen planus and malignant transformation: A retrospective follow-up study of clinical and histopathologic data Interdisciplinary treatment of missing anterior teeth-Evaluation of factors influencing the long time esthetic