# Healing of Apical Periodontitis after Minimally Invasive Endodontics therapy using Er,Cr:YSGG laser: A Prospective Clinical Study

Ali A. Shaheed<sup>1,2,3</sup>, MSc, Hussien A. Jawad<sup>1</sup>, PhD, Basima M.A. Hussain<sup>1</sup>, PhD, Ahmed M. Said, DDS<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Baghdad University, Laser Institute for Postgraduate Studies
<sup>2</sup>Alkafeel University, College of Dentistry
<sup>3</sup>Shaheed Doctor Nawar Specialised Dental Center

Email : <u>Aluky1985@yahoo.com</u>

Article History: Submitted: 22.11.2019

Revised: 25.12.2019

Accepted: 28.01.2020

#### ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to clarify the healing percentages for a 6-months duration after clinical endodontic treatment, in cases treated by Er,Cr:YSGG laser (Waterlase MD; Biolase Technology, Inc, San Clement, CA) and filled by two obturation techniques.

The study group were composed of 40 patients, who were referred for endodontic treatment and diagnosed having apical periodontitis they received well-performed minmaly invasive nonsurgical root canal treatment with ProTaper Next instruments (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballagues, Switzerland) and copiously irrigation with 2 mL 5% NaOCI . After instrumentation, laser irradiation was performed for smear layer removal with Er,Cr:YSGG laser 2,780 nm wavelength with a redial firing tips RFT2 and RFT3 [diameter 200 µm for apical and middle third and 320 µm for coronal third respectivly]. After laser irradiation, a final irrigation was done with 5ml of Saline solution. Then, dissinfection for the root canal was done with the same laser device. The subjects were divided into 2 groups; the first one was obturated with carrierbased technique(GuttaCore, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballagues, Switzerland) and the other with cold lateral compaction technique , AH Plus sealer (Dentsply Tulsa Dental Specialties, Tulsa, OK)was used in both groups.

Healing of the different apical periodontitis cases was evaluated clinically and radiographically employing Periapical index (PAI) scoring. According to this evaluation, three conditions could be distinguished :

#### INTRODUCTION

The most important requirements of rewarding root canal treatments claimed to eradicate all organic substrates from canal wall and lumen, and to obturate root canals with a hermic seal. Beside that, apprpriate cleaning and shaping is required for decent result which required an increase in the diameter size of the root canal.(1)

On the other hand, the durability of endodontically treated tooth is a crucial parameter. Conserning this consept, Minimally invasive endodontics (MIE) can be utilised for ultimate conservation of healthy dental structure during root canal treatment. To get a rewarding result, there should be a balancing between preservation and removing of dentine during instrumentation .(2)

Another important paraameter is a dissinfection of the root canal system. Ideal instrumentation and disinfection play a major rule in the healing of periradicular tissue and root canal tratment success(3). Determining the affecting elements will guid dentists anticipate the fallout of root canal treatment(4)

Various devices and techniques of irrigation are advanced to promote the washing of endodontic treatment. among of these irrigation techniques, negative pressure, sonic, ultrasonic, photon-induced photoacoustic streaming (PIPS), and laser applications (5,6).

Laser applications in dentistry have been advanced a lot specially in endodontic treatments. One of these important applications is clearing away smear layer from radicular dentine(7,8) and getting deep radicular healed, healing, or diseased. Successed cases includes healed or healing conditions which accompanied the success of root canal treatment. Statistical analysis was done by using independent t-test, Univariate (ONE WAY ANOVA) test, and Pearson coefficient.Differences between variables were setting as significant at 5% (P<0.05) and highly significant at 1% (P<0.01).

The prognosis of healing rates was compared temporally. Forty patients were folowed up at three recall periods at 1-month, 3-month, and 6-month after treatment. The success of root canal treatment for these periods was 67.5%, 82.5%, and 97.5%, respectively.

In conclusion, the teeth of different apical diagnosis can be treated with the Er,Cr:YSGG laser.It showed a tremendous degree of success of root canal treatment in aperiod of 6 months after treatment. The Er,Cr:YSGG laser permit for rapid rate of healing with a predictable outcome.

Key Words: YSGG Laser, Irradiation, Peridontitis, Univariate. Correspondence: Ali A. Shaheed Baghdad University Laser Institute for Postgraduate Studies E-mail: <u>Aluky1985@yahoo.com</u> DOI: <u>10.5530/srp.2020.2.21</u>

@Advanced Scientific Research. All rights reserved

sterilisation(9,10). There are many lasers used for this purpose, erbium, chromium:yttrium-scandium-gallium-garnet (Er,Cr:YSGG) laser(2,780 nm), has been exhibited qualified smear layer removal(11) and better endodontic dissinfetion than some traditional ways (12) without any hazards to adjecent tissues (13,14).

The aim of the present study was to clarify the healing percentages for a 6-months duration after clinical endodontic treatment, in cases treated by Er,Cr:YSGG laser and filled by two obturation techniques. It was guesstimated that the healing percentage checked at 6 months will be decreased.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study cohort was composed of 40 patients, who were referred for endodontic treatment and diagnosed of having apical periodontitis. This clinical study was done in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki. An informed consent form was signed by all patients before being involved. This study assessed the healing of apical periodontits after root canal treated by Er,Cr:YSGG laser. This plan was elucidated to all participators before the stating of the treatment. the selection of persons followed an exclusion and inclusion criteria.

#### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Patients aged from 12-60 years old were included in this study. they received well-performed minmaly invasive nonsurgical endodontic therapy. All patients had

preoperative clinical and radiographic evidence of apical periodontal diseeases. The first molar was the subjected tooth.

Patients were excluded if their teeth present with earlier pulpotomy, pulpectomy, or any endodontic interventions. Beside that, mobile teeth with a score ≥2, teeth present with a periodontal problems with more than 6 mm pocket depth, and teeth with undevoloped root apices. Also the patients will rule out if they were taking any medications that might disturb the metabolism of bone like, immunosuppressive, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, intravenous bisphosphonates, corticosteroids, hormone replacement therapy, tumor necrosis factor blockers, antiresorptive treatment, and others.

### Preoperative Data Collection

A thourogh preoperative clinical examination was performed for each patient and supported by radiographic records. This examination includes a patient's medical history and previous dental work, in addition to an intraoral assessment which encompassed the mobility testing, calculation of the periodontal pocket depths. Also the swelling and soft tissue lesions if any, palpation, and percussion were recorded. After that,a pulpal and periradicular diagnosis was concluded and documented. The parallel periapical radiographic technique was used and the radiographs analysed according to periapical index score.

A coded data sheets were used, these sheets contain edited radiographic and clinical data belonging to each acused tooth at different periods: before (preoperative), after (postoperative), after one month, and at 3-month intervals form the date of obturation up to 6 months.

# Treatment Procedure

Firstful, 2% lidocaine anesthetitic solution with 1:100,000 epinephrine was given for patients to be more comfortable. A rubber dam was used to isolated the first molar tooth which will be treated. After removing of decay and broken filling, the tooth was built up to get four wall straight access cavity. Then, #10 K- file (MANI K files, Utsunomiya, Japan) was used to nigosiate the root canals and check the canal patency. After that, radiographs, and apex locator (Root ZX mini, Morita, Japan) were used to determine the working length.

Glide paths reproduction were done by employing hand and rotary files # 10 K-file and Proglider file respectively and without coronal flaring. Then, cleaning and shaping started according to minimal invasive endodontic protocol by using ProTaper Next instruments (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballagues, Switzerland). the 'push-pull' preparation technique was used up to, X2 (0.25 mm tip with 6 % taper) for mesial and bucall root canals of lower and upper first molars ,and X3 (0.3 mm tip with 6% taper) for distal and palatal canals. Copious irrigation was performed with 2 mL 5% NaOCI following each protaper file with a recaptulation by #10 K-file.

Subsequently, laser irradiation was performed for smear layer removal by using Er,Cr:YSGG laser (Waterlase MD; Biolase Technology, Inc, San Clement, CA) at 2,780 nm wavelength with a redial firing tips RFT2 and RFT3 [diameter 200  $\mu$ m for apical and middle third and 320  $\mu$ m for coronal third respectivly]. The running parameters were: 1.25 W, 20Hz, H Mode; Air 30; Water 10. The firing

tip was advanced inside the canal lumen 1mm shorter than the determined working length. Then, at the time of irradiation it would be withdrawan in a circular motion against the dentinal walls of the root. This operation was remade three times at a rate of 1-2mm/second.

After laser irradiation, a final irrigation was done with 5ml of Saline solution. Then, dissinfection for the root canal was done with the same laser device, the same Steps were repeated in each canal but with disinfection settings; Tip: RFT2 and RFT3, 1.00W; 20Hz; H Mode; Air 10; Water 0.

The subjects were divided into two groups; the first one was obturated with carrier-based technique, while the second group filled with cold lateral compaction technique. AH Plus sealer (Dentsply Tulsa Dental Specialties, Tulsa, OK) was used in both groups.

In carrier-based technique, X2 or X3 Guttacore verifier was inserted inside the root canal down to the working length for size verification. Then, X2, X3 GuttaCore were used with the GuttaCore oven Thermaprep. (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballagues, Switzerland). Where as, in cold lateral compaction group, X2,X3 master gutta-percha cones (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballagues, Switzerland) was used with an accessory cones (0.02 taper).

After completing the obturation procedure, a light cured composite was used to seal the floor of the pulp chamber. Then, final restoration for patients have been done.

# Outcome Measures and Criteria

Healing of the different apical periodontitis cases was evaluated clinically and radiographically along six months period. The clinical part of estimation depends on the signs and symptoms. While, the other part builds upon Periapical index (PAI) scoring. This scores graded from normal periradicular tissue which assembled by score 1 to severe apical periodontitis with exacerbating features which assembled by score 5 (15).

According to the above evaluation, three conditions of the study cases could be distinguished : healed, healing, or diseased (15,16). These conditions can be explained as the following:

A. Healed: normal status clinically, no tenderness to percussion, and the periapical index score 1 or 2 (assessed radiographically).

B. Healing: normal status clinically, no tenderness to percussion , and there is a decrease in the size of the apical periodontitis lesion or the PAI score shifted to smaller score.

C. Diseased: signs and symptoms present clinically, and the periapical index score 3 or more or there is a broadening in the volume of the apical periodontitis lesion or hiking in the PAI score

In summery, successed cases includes healed or healing conditions which accompanied the success of root canal treatment.

# Evaluating Radiographs

The evaluation of the coded radiographic films of randomized different patients was performed blindly by two skillful endodontists. Firstful, a PAI score was given for every root which could be seen on the radiographic film. Then, the maximum index score of all tooth's roots was given for this tooth. The sum of these PAI scores of all teeth at different follow up periods was further statistically evaluated.

#### Ali A. Shaheed et al / Healing of Apical Peridontitis after Minimally Invasive Endodontics Therapy Using Er, Cr: YSGG Laser: A Prospective Clinical Study

#### Statistical Analysis

SPSS version 21, was used to evaluate differences, two variables comparisons via independent t-test, three and more variables comparisons via Univariate (ONE WAY ANOVA) test. Pearson coefficient was performed to determine association of therapy outcome with studied variables. Detection of predictors was observed by using Logistic regression. Differences between variables were setting as significant at 5% (P≤0.05) and highly significant at 1% (P≤0.01).

The frequency, percentage, and the P-value of variables were tested. Among the forty participant in this study, 45% and 55% were male and female respectively, without statistically significant differences established between them. The percent of having a history of diabetes disease was 15%, with significant P-value (P< 1%).

No significance was found between the different status of oral hygiene, 42.5% of the subjects had good oral hygiene and 57.5% was fair. After six months follow up, 32 of treated cases considered as healed, 17 cases under healing process, and the remaining one case was diseased as showed in Table 1.

# RESULTS

| Variables               | Criteria            | n (%)    | P value          |  |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|--|
| Age                     | ≤35                 | 29(72.5) | 0.000**          |  |
| Gender                  | Male                | 18(45)   | 0.988ns          |  |
| Oral hygiene            | Good                | 17(42.5) | 0.613ns          |  |
| Molar                   | upper left          | 11(27.5) | 0.439ns          |  |
|                         | upper right         | 6(15.0)  |                  |  |
|                         | lower left          | 7(17.5)  |                  |  |
|                         | lower right         | 16(40.0) |                  |  |
| Diabetic                | yes                 | 6(15)    | 0.000**          |  |
| Periradicular diagnosis | Asymptomatic Apical | 14(35)   |                  |  |
|                         | symptomatic Apical  | 8(20)    | 0.065pc          |  |
|                         | Acute Abscess       | 7(17.5)  | 0.005115         |  |
|                         | Chronic Abscess     | 11(27.5) | ]                |  |
| Sealer extrusion        | yes                 | 18(45)   | 0.988            |  |
| Obturation type         | Cold Lateral        | 20(50)   | - 1.00 <u>ns</u> |  |
|                         | Guttacore           | 20(50)   |                  |  |
| Number of Visit         | single              | 40(100)  |                  |  |
| PAI score               | ≥3                  | 40(100)  |                  |  |
| PAI after one month     | ≥3                  | 40(100)  |                  |  |
| PAI after three month   | ≥3                  | 29(72.7) | 0.000**          |  |
| PAI after six month     | ≥3                  | 8(20)    | 0.000**          |  |
| Healing rate            | Success             | 39(97.5) |                  |  |
|                         | Healed              | 32(80)   |                  |  |
|                         | Healing             | 17(17.5) |                  |  |
|                         | Diseased            | 1(2.5)   |                  |  |

ns= not significant, \*\* Significant differences at 1%,

Figure 1 presents radiographs of an endodontic case treated by Er,Cr:YSGG laser. The preoperative radiogragh shows distal root with a well localized periapical

lesion. The healing of this lesion is revealed after 1 month, 3month, and 6 months afterwards endodontic therapy.



a) Pre Treatment

b) Post treatment

c) After 1 month



Figure 1. Radiographic films showing the roots of tooth #36. a) preoperative radiograph present a periapical lesion for both roots b)The mesial and distal roots were obturated with Gutta-core X2 andX3 respectively and AH Plus selear. c,d,e) reveals the healing of the lesions at 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months after minimally invasive Endodontics therapy using Er,Cr:YSGG laser.

Moreover, as dipicted in Table 2, concerning the Pearson correlations there is a highly correlation between the healing and the preoperative PAI score (r = 0.543 highly significant).

| Variables                   | No  | %       | 95% CI                | Pearson     |
|-----------------------------|-----|---------|-----------------------|-------------|
|                             | INO | Success |                       | correlation |
| Age                         |     |         | 0.912-1.994           | 0.124ns     |
| ≤35                         | 29  | 96.5    |                       |             |
| >35                         | 11  | 100     |                       |             |
| Gender                      |     |         | -0.670 <b>–</b> 0.175 | -0.189ns    |
| Male                        | 18  | 100     |                       |             |
| Female                      | 12  | 95.5    |                       |             |
| Preoperative PAI score (≥3) | 40  | 100     | 0.237-0.726           | 0.543**     |
| Obturation type             |     |         | -0.670 <b>–</b> 0.170 | -0.192      |
| Cold Lateral                | 20  | 95      |                       |             |
| Guttacore                   | 20  | 100     |                       |             |

| T I I (0 |                 | 6 I.I.I. I.I.     |             |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Table (2 | ) Association ( | of variables with | the outcome |

IC= confidence intervals, ns= not significant, \* Significant differences at 5%, \*\*Significant differences at 1%

The prognosis of healing rates was compared Temporally as shown in Figure 2. Forty patients were followed up at three recall periods at 1-month, 3-month, and 6-month after treatment. The success of root canal treatment for these periods was 67.5%, 82.5%, and 97.5%, respectively.



Figure 2. the success of therapy at 1-month, 3-month and 6-month follow-up time

# DISCUSSION

The present study clariefied the outcome of an endodontic treatment for 6-months follow-up.The treatment procedures of using Er,Cr:YSGG laser followed the Waterlase Endodontic Protocol (16). Moreover, the cleaning and shaping were performed according to minmally invasive technique to preserve the root

structure. The apical enlargment was from 0.25 mm to 0.3 mm with 6 % tapering, further decreasing of the apical diameter conflicts with the requirements of the obturation techniques.

Carrier based and lateral compaction obturation techniques were adopted for root canal obturation afterwards laser application. Earliear in vitro studies were

using the same laser after multipleappointments endodontic treatment, but the canals instrumented to a larger apical diameter (17,18,19). Their results depending on enlarging the canal size provide freely movment of laser tip inside the root canal space.

furthermore, ther is no dependable confirmation that healing improvment affected by number of sessions, whatever it is single or multiplesessions with calcium hydroxide intracanal medication [7, 33].

One of the objectives of root canal therapy is to survive the involved tooth to the finest viable case without surgical intervention (20, 21). Some studies advocate that teeth with partial healing prognosis must be subsequent to prolong time duration. Despite that, another study (22) presents about 95% of the cases with long period follow up could be anticipated at shorter period. In addition, lost of patients susseptibility over long period follow-ups will be increased. So that, some of researchers directed to chose briefer follow up duration, while others recommended simpler but definite proxy endpoints [23, 24]. In this study, the patients were observed for 6 months period.

Earlier clinical researches (25,26,27) were done using other endodontic supported techniques. They present different healing percentage at the same endpoint period, after six months. One study present 70.6% healing percent of the lesion after root canal treatment(28). Other study (29) revealed healing percent around 67% of the treated cases. Another one showed 83.33 % healing rate after using of Er,Cr:YSGG laser (30).

In the present study, 97.5% healing rate at 6 months period was displayed. This result of high endodontic success reject the guesstimated hypothesis that the healing percentage checked at 6 months will be decreased.

In traditional root canal treatment, it is popular that the disinfection of the root canal especially the apical third could be promoted utilising ultrasonic tips (31). In the present study, the displayed result of 97.5% healing percentage signify a valuable laser elimination of the smear layer from dentinal wall. In addition to an efficient and thorugh dissinfection laser action underneath dentinal tubules.

One of the crucial factors that connected directly to the treatment success is the conservation of tooth dentine as much as possible (32). In the present study, it is noticeable that this issue is taken into account through using minimal invasive endodontics. The dentin structure is preserved during access preparation and root canal shaping.

In conclusion, the teeth of different apical diagnosis can be treated with the Er,Cr:YSGG laser.It showed a tremendous degree of success of root canal treatment in aperiod of 6 months after treatment. There is no significance between the obturation techniques (cold lateral or carrier based). The treatment results were anticipated in a period a few months. The Er,Cr:YSGG laser permit for rapid rate of healing with a predictable outcome.

# REFERENCES

1. Prithwish M, Aditya P, M Chandak, Rasika K, Minimally Invasive Endodontics a Promising Future Concept: A Review Article ijss 2017; 10.17354-199

- 2. Tang W, Wu Y, Smales RJ. Identifying and reducing risks for potential fractures in endodontically treated teeth. J Endod 2010;36:609-17.
- 3. Nair PN. Pathogenesis of apical periodontitis and the causes of endodontic failures. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2004;15:348–81.
- Siqueira JF. Aetiology of root canal treatment failure: why well-treated teeth can fail. Int Endod J 2001;34:1–10.
- Munoz HR, Camacho-Cuadra K. In vivo efficacy of three different endodontic irrigation systems for irrigant delivery to working length of mesial canals of mandibular molars. J Endod 2012;38:445–88.
- Yost RA, Bergeron BE, Kirkpatrick TC, et al. The EndoVac and EndoActivator groups produced significantly less extrusion than PIPS irrigation. J Endod 2015;4:1530–4.
- George R, Meyers IA, Walsh LJ Laser activation of endodontic irrigants with improved conical laser fiber tips for removing smear layer in the apical third of the root canal. J Endod (2008) 34(12):1524– 1527
- Takeda FH, Harashima T, Kimura Y, Matsumoto K Comparative study about the removal of smear layer by three types of laser devices. J Clin Laser Med Surg(1998) 16(2):117–122
- Fegan SE, Steiman HR Comparative evaluation of the antibacterial effects of intracanal Nd:YAG laser irradiation: an in vitro study. J Endod (1995) 21(8):415–417
- Gutknecht N, van Gogswaardt D, Conrads G, Apel C, Schubert C, Lampert F Diode laser radiation and its bactericidal effect in root canal wall dentin. J Clin Laser Med Surg(2000) 18(2):57–60
- Silva AC, Guglielmi C, Meneguzzo DT, Aranha AC, Bombana AC, de Paula Eduardo C Analysis of permeability and morphology of root canal dentin after Er, Cr:YSGG laser irradiation. Photomed Laser Surg(2010) 28(1):103–108
- Arnabat J, Escribano C, Fenosa A, Vinuesa T, Gay-Escoda C, Berini L et al Bactericidal activity of erbium, chromium: yttrium-scandium-galliumgarnet laser in root canals. Lasers Med Sci(2010) 25(6):805–810
- Abad-Gallegos M, Arnabat-Dominguez J, Espana-Tost A, Berini-Aytes L, Gay-Escoda C In vitro evaluation of the temperature increment at the external root surface after Er, Cr:YSGG laser irradiation of the root canal. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal(2009) 14(12): e658–e662
- Ishizaki NT, Matsumoto K, Kimura Y, Wang X, Kinoshita J, Okano SM et al Thermographical and morphological studies of Er, Cr:YSGG laser irradiation on root canal walls. Photomed Laser Surg (2004) 22(4):291–297
- 15. Orstavik D, Kerekes K, Eriksen HM. The periapical index: a scoring system for radiographic assessment of apical periodontitis. Endod Dent Traumatol 1986;2:20–34.
- 16. The Waterlase Endodontic Protocol https://www.biolase.com/media/ WL\_ Endo\_Brochure.pdf.

- 17. Friedman S, Abitbol S, Lawrence HP. Treatment outcome in endodontics: the Toronto study. Phase 1: initial treatment. J Endod 2003;29:787–93.
- Siqueira JF, Rocas IN: Clinical Implications and Microbiology of Bacterial Persistence after Treatment Procedures. J Endod. 2008;34(11):1291– 1301.
- Schoop U, Barylyak A, Goharkhay K, Beer F, Wernisch J, Georgopoulos A, Sperr W, Moritz A: The impact of an erbium, chromium: yttriumscandium-gallium-garnet laser with radial-firing tips on endodontic treatment. Lasers Med Sci. 2007;24(1):59–65.
- Ng YL, Mann V, Rahbaran S, et al. Outcome of primary root canal treatment: systematic review of the literature—part 1. Effects of study characteristics on probability of success. Int Endod J 2007;40:921– 39.
- Salamat K, Rezai RF. Nonsurgical treatment of extraoral lesions caused by necrotic nonvital tooth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1986;61:618–23.
- 22. Jessl\_en P, Zetterqvist L, Heimdahl A. Long-term results of amalgam versus glass ionomer cement as apical sealant after apicectomy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1995;79:101–3.
- Molander A, Warfvinge J, Reit C, Kvist T (2007) Clinical and radiographic evaluation of one- and two-visit endodontic treatment of asymptomatic necrotic teeth with apical periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. J Endod 33(10):1145– 1148.
- Penesis VA, Fitzgerald PI, Fayad MI, Wenckus CS, BeGole EA, Johnson BR (2008) Outcome of onevisit and two-visit endodontic treatment of necrotic teeth with apical periodontitis: a randomized controlled trial with one-year evaluation. J Endod 34(3):251–257.
- Asgeir S, Khang T, Stacey M. Woo, Shahriar A. Rassoulian, Kimberly M, Farah A, and Randy W. (2016) Six-month healing success rates after endodontic treatment using the novel GentleWave<sup>™</sup> System: The pure prospective multi-center clinical study 10.4317/jced.52779.
- Jianing He, Robert K, , Cathy A. , Jordan L, and Karl F. (2016) Clinical and Patient-centered Outcomes of Nonsurgical Root Canal Retreatment in First Molars Using Contemporary Techniques. 10.1016/j.joen.2016.10.029
- Zvi M, Ronen H, Dragos S, Dan D, Idan T, and (20089) Hadar B, Healing Kinetics of Periapical Lesions Enhanced by the Apexum Procedure: A Clinical Trial 10.1016/j.joen.2008.11.019
- Murphy WK, Kaugars GE, Collett WK, Dodds RN. Healing of periapical radiolucencies after nonsurgical endodontic therapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1991;71:620–4.
- 29. Penesis VA, Fitzgerald PI, Fayad MI, et al. Outcome of one-visit and two-visit endodontic treatment of necrotic teeth with apical periodontitis: a randomized controlled trial with one-year evaluation. Int Endod J 2008;34:251–7.
- M. R. Martins , M. F. Carvalho , I. P. Vaz , J. A. Capelas , M. A. Martins , N. Gutknecht (2012) Efficacy of Er,Cr:YSGG laser with endodontical

radial firing tips on the outcome of endodontic treatment: blind randomized controlled clinical trial with six-month evaluation 10.1007/s10103-012-1172-6

- Gutmann JL, Saunders WP, Nguyen L, et al. Ultrasonic root-end preparation Part 1. SEM analysis. Int Endod J 1994;27:318–24.
- 32. Murdoch-Kinch CA, McLean ME. Minimally invasive dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc 2003;134:87–95.