New UV Curable Acrylated Urethane-Oligoesters Derived from Poly (Ethylene Terephthalate) PET Waste
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ABSTRACT
The glycolysis products of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) waste represent a potential source for many value-added products that contain terephthalate repeating units in their backbones. Terephthalate repeating units were not attained directly from terephthalic acid due to its high melting point in addition to its tendency to sublime before it reacts. Glycolysis of PET provides an excellent solution for recycling polymer waste and constitutes a substantial starting material for manufacturing materials with high mechanical stress, such as unsaturated polyesters and polyurethane products. In this study, PET was first depolymerized by glycolysis, and glycolyzed products were then dimerized by reaction with toluene di-isocyanate TDI with half equivalence of their hydroxyl groups for the purpose of inserting urethane blocks into the oligomer structure. The remaining half equivalence of terminal hydroxyl groups was modified into acrylate groups by an acrylation reaction. The acrylated oligo urethane ester products were cross-linked with different co-monomers and tested for UV curability and mechanical properties, and they showed outstanding results.

Keywords: Polymer recycling, Value-added recycled products, Glycolysis of PET waste, UV curable monomers, Urethane ester acylated oligomers

*Correspondence: Medhat S. Farahat Khedr, Department of Chemistry, University of North Florida, Florida, USA, E-mail: mfarahat2007@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION
Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) has been a well-known packaging material for many decades and is considered the world’s top choice for many foods and beverages because of its hygienicity, high strength, light weight and shatterproofness. The highest application of PET lies in packaging carbonated soft drinks and water as a chemically safe material. The production of synthetic fibers from PET polymers fulfills more than half of the world’s demand. PET was first synthesized in the United States by the mid-1940s when researchers were searching for an alternative synthetic material for natural textiles. Dacron is a branded synthetic polyester produced by DuPont. Furthermore, by the late 1950s, researchers managed to prepare stretched thin sheets of PET by extrusion molding to create films that are now extensively used as X-ray or photographic films. The technology of PET production and molding achieved much progress by the 1970s towards the formation of strong and lightweight bottles. PET is highly resistant to attack by microorganisms or reaction with food products, and this privilege places it on top of packaging materials used in the processing of foods, beverages, and pharmaceuticals. Based on these facts, health-safety agencies around the world have approved PET as safe for use with foods and beverages for many decades. The massive production of polymeric packaging materials around the world has implemented research work for their recycling to manage their increasing waste volume. PET is at the top of all recyclable polymer wastes due to its easy recycling and high sustainability, and it is the most recycled plastic in the United States and worldwide. PET is recycled into shorter chain oligomers and co-reacted with different raw reactant materials with various functional groups to produce new polymeric materials or reproduce PET back and used in forming containers for foods, beverages, pharmaceutical products, fibers for carpets and clothing, automotive parts and construction materials. Finding solutions to reduce the massive volume of discarded plastic products became mandatory for managing the growing portion of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) (Liptak BG, 1991; Rhymner CR, et al., 2017). Statistical data revealed that the global production of PET increased from 41.56 million metric tons in 2014 to 73.39 million metric tons in 2020. Another instance of the high consumption of PET in the production of beverage bottles was recorded in 1987, where more than 700 million pounds were in this industry alone (Bledzki AK, 1997). The effective utilization of PET waste is considered of high economic and technological importance. PET can be depolymerized by different chemical methods, which is attributed to its high chemical reactivity of its ester functional group, where its chemical reactivity is ranked among other carboxylic acid derivatives as follows: acid halides>acid anhydrides>esters>amides (Scheirs J and Long TE, 2005). The covalent bonding of the C atom to the more electronegative O atom from both ends in the ester linkage (O=C-O) creates a permanent dipole with a positive charge (+) on the C atom and a negative charge (-) on the acyl O atom, and this polarity of the ester carbonyl group makes it highly reactive towards nucleophilic addition reactions. Nucleophilic reagents could be neutral or negatively charged. Examples of neutral nucleophiles are Lewis bases in their nature, such as amines, alcohols, glycols, etc. (R-NH2, R-OH, HO-R-OH), while negatively charged nucleophiles are anions such as cyanides, chlorides, hydroxides, etc. (-CN-, Cl-, -OH-). This fact opens the potential for many innovative depolymerization processes for converting PET waste into numerous value-added degradation products. PET waste can be depolymerized into shorter chain oligoesters by alcoholic degradation as a Lewis base nucleophile (Mleziva J, 1969; Petuhov BV, 1976; Todorov K, et al., 1989; Neale CW, et al., 1983; Jensen JW, et al., 1974; Milgrom J, 1989; Warren LM and Burns R, 1988). PET can be depolymerized by other Lewis base nucleophiles, such as water (hydrolysis), amines (aminolysis), and glycols (glycolysis). Methanolysis has been evaluated as a method for recycling photographic and X-ray films, with more than 158 × 106 kg converted since 1977 (Van H, 1990). However, large-scale degradation by methanolysis was not financially efficient (Martino R, 1990). For the purpose of highly efficient methanolysis, high-pressure reactors should be involved in the process (Dupont LA and Gupta VP, 1993; Sitting M, 1981). Dupont LA, et al. reported on the degradation transesterification of PET scraps by 2-ethyl-1-hexanol for the purpose of synthesizing Diocetyl Terephthalate (DOTP) plasticizers for flexible PVC (Chen JW and...
PET waste was first depolymerized by Diethylene Glycol DEG at a molar ratio of PET:DEG of 1:2.15 based on the molecular weight of the terephthalate ethylene glycol repeating unit ($C_9H_{12}O_4$) of 192.17 (g/mol). Depolymerization of PET was carried out in a continuously stirred three-necked flask equipped with a condenser, thermometer, and nitrogen inlet to avoid any undesirable oxidation side reactions in the presence of atmospheric oxygen at elevated temperatures. The temperature of the reaction was kept constant in the range of 180°C-200°C for a period of 4 hours (Siggia S, 1963; Stetzler RS and Smullin CF, 1962). After completion of the glycolysis reaction, the product was cooled to room temperature and dissolved in an appropriate amount of Dichloromethane DCM to lower the viscosity of the glycolyzed product GLY and further purified by Liquid-Liquid Chromatography LLC through phase separation between solvent-nonsolvent phases using distilled water as the polar nonsolvent to remove any unreacted glycol and catalyst (Tong SN, et al., 1983; Rebeiz KS, et al., 1996). DCM organic layer containing solubilized oligoester polyol GLY was then collected and dried from DCM by a rotary evaporator and tested for acid number and hydroxyl value by End Group Analysis EGA. The eventually collected GLY was reacted with half equivalent of TDI based on the determined hydroxyl value to form a hydroxyl terminated urethane-oligoester GLYUA. The remaining half equivalence of terminal hydroxyl groups of GLYU were modified into acrylate groups through acrylation reaction (Fritz JS and Schenk GH, 1959; Fritz DF, et al., 1979) to prepare the modified product of acrylated urethane-oligoester GLYUA, which was copolymerized with different comonomers and tested for their mechanical properties. GLYUA was copolymerized with different UV curable comonomers, such as Diethylene Glycol Diacrylate DEGDA, Diethylene Glycol Dimethacrylate DEGDMA, Triethylene Glycol Dimethacrylate TEGDMA and Styrene Sty were all purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This work is a continuation of our previously published series of work (Tong SN, et al., 1983; Rebeiz KS, et al., 1992; Gao F, 2000; Ahn KD, et al., 1999) on the modification of oligoester polyols derived from the glycolysis reaction of PET waste. In our previous work, PET waste was depolymerized at different molar ratios of PET:DEG, and the obtained oligoester polyols were modified to acrylated and methacrylated UV curable oligomers (Gao F, 2000; Ahn KD, et al., 1999). In this work, the obtained oligoester polyols were reacted first with half equivalents of TDI to insert a urethane moiety into the backbone of the polymer chain followed by modification of the terminal hydroxyl groups to acrylates. The purpose of incorporating the urethane nucleus into the polymer structure was to improve the mechanical characteristics of the final cured films. Figure 1 shows all the steps of glycolysis of PET and chemical reaction with TDI and modification with ACI to obtain the modified acrylated urethane-oligoester GLYUA.

**Glycolysis of PET waste**

PET was first depolymerized by Diethylene Glycol DEG at a molar ratio of PET:DEG of 1:2.15 based on the molecular weight of the terephthalate ethylene glycol repeating unit ($C_9H_{12}O_4$) of 192.17 (g/mol). Depolymerization of PET was carried out in a continuously stirred three-necked flask equipped with a condenser, thermometer, and nitrogen inlet to avoid any undesirable oxidation side reactions in the presence of atmospheric oxygen at elevated temperatures. The temperature of the reaction was kept constant in the range of 180°C-200°C for a period of 4 hours (Siggia S, 1963; Stetzler RS and Smullin CF, 1962). After completion of the glycolysis reaction, the product was cooled to room temperature and dissolved in an appropriate amount of Dichloromethane DCM to lower the viscosity of the glycolyzed product GLY and further purified by Liquid-Liquid Chromatography LLC through phase separation between solvent-nonsolvent phases using distilled water as the polar nonsolvent to remove any unreacted glycol and catalyst (Tong SN, et al., 1983; Rebeiz KS, et al., 1992; Gao F, 2000; Ahn KD, et al., 1999) DCM organic layer containing solubilized oligoester polyol GLY was then collected and dried from DCM by a rotary evaporator and tested for acid number and hydroxyl value by End Group Analysis EGA. The eventually collected GLY was reacted with half equivalent of TDI based on the determined hydroxyl value to form a hydroxyl terminated urethane-oligoester GLYUA. The remaining half equivalence of terminal hydroxyl groups of GLYU were modified into acrylate groups through acrylation reaction (Fritz JS and Schenk GH, 1959; Fritz DF, et al., 1979) to prepare the modified product of acrylated urethane-oligoester GLYUA, which was copolymerized with different comonomers and tested for their mechanical properties. GLYUA was copolymerized with different UV curable comonomers, such as Diethylene Glycol Diacrylate DEGDA, Diethylene Glycol Dimethacrylate DEGDMA, Triethylene Glycol Dimethacrylate TEGDMA and Styrene Sty. Copolymerization and crosslinking reactions were carried out under UV irradiation using 2-Benzyl-2-Dimethylamino-1-(4-Morpholinophenyl)-1-Butanone BDMB as a photoinitiator, and cured products were tested for their mechanical properties.

**Molecular weight determination by End Group Analysis (EGA)**

The number average molecular weights $<M_n>$ of the obtained GLY were calculated according to the End Group Analysis method by determination of the acid number (A) and hydroxyl value (B) (mg KOH/g sample) (Sorenson WR, et al., 2001; O’dian G, 1981; Priola A, et al., 1993; Malucelli G, et al., 1996) and substituting into Equation (1) (ASTM International, 2014) where n refers to the average number of hydroxyl groups/oligomer molecule.

\[
M_n=(n \times 56.1 \times 1000)/(A+B)
\]  
*(1)*

**Molecular weight determination by Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)**

GPC analyses were performed on SEC Inst., using THF as a solvent at ambient temperature. For measurement, a 20 µL sample was injected at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. using waters 5/5 HPLC pump. Two successive columns (PSS SDV linear XL 5 µ, 8 × 600 mm) and (PSS SDV 1000-A 5 µ, 8 × 600 mm) were applied. Detection was performed on a Waters 410 Differential Refractometer using a linear UVIS-205 absorbance detector. We have investigated the structure of the obtained GLY under the same chemical conditions in our previous work (Tong SN, et al., 1983; Rebeiz KS, et al., 1992; Gao F, 2000; Ahn KD, et al., 1999), and it was shown that GLY contains (n=3) hydroxyl groups per oligomer molecule, and the measured $<M_n>$ from EGA and GPC lies between 480-500 (g/mole).
Synthesis of urethane-oligoesters

Based on the measured hydroxyl value (B) by EGA, GLY was reacted with an equivalent of TDI to form urethane-oligoester GLYU with half equivalent of its terminal hydroxyl groups available for modification into acrylate terminal groups. Reaction with TDI was carried out by heating at 70°C in a vacuum oven for 12 hours to ensure complete reaction of cyanate groups with equivalent hydroxyl groups.

Synthesis of the urethane-ester acrylated oligomer

The terminal hydroxyl groups of the urethane-oligoester GLYU were modified to acrylate groups by reaction with acryloyl chloride according to a published procedure (Fritz JS and Schenk GH, 1959; Fritz DF, et al., 1979). A 250 ml round-bottom three-necked flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a nitrogen sparge tube was charged with 150 mL Tetrahydrofuran (THF), x mol of purified GLYU and 3x mol triethylamine. After cooling the mixture under a nitrogen purge to 0°C with an ice bath, the calculated equivalent moles of acryloyl chloride were added dropwise via an additional funnel over a 30 min time span. The mixture was kept at 0°C for 3 h and allowed to reach room temperature and remain for an additional 3 h. After filtration and removal of the THF under vacuum, the crude product was dissolved in DCM. The obtained DCM solution was washed out with 1.0 M aqueous NaOH and saturated aqueous NaCl, three times in each case, followed by drying the organic layer over anhydrous Na2SO4. The final solution was concentrated and purified by passage over anhydrous silica column using a CH2OH/CH2Cl2 (50:50 v/v) mixture as a mobile phase. The urethane-ester acrylated oligomer GLYUA was then copolymerized with UV curing with other comonomers and tested for Young's modulus, %elongation and break strength.

UV curing

The finally synthesized and purified acrylated urethane-oligoester GLYUA was then cured solely under UV irradiation and as a comonomer with other UV curable monomers or a mixture of monomers. Curing was carried out by the addition of 2 wt.-% BDMA and UV irradiation at λ=254 nm at an output intensity of 400 W/inch. The speed of the conveyor belt was 5 ft/min for a traveled distance of l=33.0 inches. Cured films were obtained by casting liquid mixtures of monomers onto glass plates for a definite thickness controlled by using a steel thickness guard.

Essence of the measured mechanical properties

The mechanical characteristics of the tested cured films were recorded in terms of the stress/strain relationship. Young's modulus measures the resistance of a material to elastic (recoverable) deformation under loading. A stiff material exhibits a high Young's modulus and changes its shape only slightly under elastic loads. A flexible material, on the other hand, shows a low Young's modulus and changes its shape considerably, similar to rubbery materials. A stiff material requires high loads to elastically deform, which requires high loads to permanently deform (or break) it. The stiffness of a material means how much it deflects under a given load. Young's modulus is equal to elastic stress/strain, or Pascals (1 Pa=1 N/m²; 1 GPa=1000 N/mm²). Experimental data for Young's modulus and break strength of the cured samples are expressed in units of GPa. As presented on Table 1.

### Table 1: Mechanical characteristics of the UV cured samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>GLYUA (wt.%)</th>
<th>Comonomer-1 (wt.%)</th>
<th>Comonomer-2 (wt.%)</th>
<th>Young's modulus (Gpa.)</th>
<th>Break strength (Mpa.)</th>
<th>% Elongation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PU1</td>
<td>GLYUA (100%)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU2</td>
<td>GLYUA (50%)</td>
<td>DEGDA (50%)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>GLYUA (50%)</td>
<td>DEGDMA (50%)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU4</td>
<td>GLYUA (50%)</td>
<td>TEGDMA (50%)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU2-2</td>
<td>GLYUA (50%)</td>
<td>DEGDMA (50%)</td>
<td>Sty (25%)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU3-2</td>
<td>GLYUA (50%)</td>
<td>DEGDMA (50%)</td>
<td>Sty (25%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU4-2</td>
<td>GLYUA (50%)</td>
<td>TEGDMA (50%)</td>
<td>Sty (25%)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measured values of Young's modulus for the UV-cured samples were between 1.5 and 2.6 GPa, which represents a good achievement when comparing samples PU1-PU4 with similar samples from our previous work that did not contain urethane moieties, which showed Young’s modulus values of (0.9-1.6 GPa) (Gao F, 2000; Ahn KD, et al., 1999). PU1 is the control sample for comparing the effect of the comonomer ratio on the measured mechanical properties, and it was cured solely under UV irradiation. The values of Young's modulus and break strength for the control sample PU1 were the lowest, but its %elongation was the highest when compared with other samples, which indicates its highest flexibility. Samples PU2-PU4 were copolymerized with other comonomers at a ratio of 50% by weight, which resulted in pronouncedly improved values of Young's modulus and break strength, but the %elongation dropped to lower values, indicating the imparted stiffness to the samples. The sum of 50% by weight ratio of the comonomer was varied to include 25% by weight of styrene monomer to incorporate the polystyrene segments into the final cross-linked structure of samples PU2-2, PU3-2 and PU4-2 and this did not change much of the values of Young's modulus but led to improvement in the break strength (MPa). The prevailing data in Table 1 indicated the success of our endeavor of incorporating a urethane moiety into the chemical structure of the glycolyzed product of PET waste. Second, copolymerization with other UV curable monomers helped drop the viscosity of the comonomer mixture, which made it more applicable in making cured films and further improved the mechanical characteristics of Young’s modulus (GPa) and break strength (MPa) to much higher values than the control sample. Styrene was incorporated as a comonomer because its segments are glassy and rigid, which should improve the mechanical strength and was established as indicated by the measured value of break strength.

CONCLUSION

Chemical degradation of PET waste by glycolysis reaction into polyol oligoesters is an interesting endeavor for creating new and innovative value-added products. Steps of modification of these polyol oligoesters were achieved by inserting urethane blocks into the chemical structure of the glycolyzed products in addition to modifying the terminal hydroxyl groups into UV curable acrylate moieties GLYUA. The mechanical properties of GLYUA cured solely with UV light were noticeably improved by copolymerization with 50% DEGDA, DEGDMA.
and TEGDA. The mechanical properties were further improved to the highest values by incorporation of 25% Styrene monomer by weight.
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