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ABSTRACT
As a provider of quality banking services, South Kalimantan Bank employees always maintain service performance by working in accordance with Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). However, the problem faced in this study is about the quality of service performance of South Kalimantan Bank during the 2016–2018 period, during which the service performance did not match the standards set by the company and inconsistencies occurred in the delivery of services to its work units, even though the company had complete the policy regarding South Kalimantan Bank service standards. This study aims to examine and analyze the effect of transformational leadership, managerial coaching, and organizational commitment to service performance through work motivation. This research is quantitative using multivariate analysis with SEM LISREL 8.8. Population withdrawal using census techniques, the sample of respondents is 220 permanent employees. The results of this study indicate a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership on motivation, a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership on motivation, a positive and significant influence of the effect of managerial coaching on motivation, the positive and significant influence of managerial coaching variables on service performance, the positive and significant influence of organizational commitment variables on motivation, the positive and significant influence of organizational commitment variables on motivation, and the existence of a positive and significant influence of the motivational variables on service performance.

INTRODUCTION
The success of a company in achieving its goals cannot be separated from the role and performance of existing human resources in the company. Employees as human resources are the most important assets for the company because of their role as implementing policies and company operational activities. The Bank is one of the financial institutions trusted by the public to raise funds and manage these funds into various kinds of products and investment choices. The definition or definition of a bank according to the law of the Republic of Indonesia No. 10 of 1998 concerning banking is: "banks are business entities that collect funds from the public in the form of deposits, and distribute them to the public in order to improve the lives of many people". In connection with this definition, banks occupy strategic positions in the national economy (Bank Indonesia, 1998). South Kalimantan Bank is one of the sources of local revenue, and is committed to helping encourage economic growth in the region. South Kalimantan Bank has 1 Main Branch Office, 17 Branch Offices, 11 Sub-Branch Offices, and 34 Units. As a provider of quality banking services, as in its mission, employees of the South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank always maintain service performance by working in accordance with Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). However, the problems encountered in this study is about the performance of quality of service in South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank during the period from 2016 to 2018, during which the period of service performance not in accordance with the specified standards of banks and inconsistencies occur in
servicing the work unit, even though the company has completed the policy regarding South Kalimantan Bank service standards. The quality of service in question is a form of consumer assessment of the level of service received with the expected level of service (Kotler, Karajaya, & Sutiar, 2011). The South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank's service performance data and the preliminary survey data of the researchers make a research gap in this study, as attached in Figure 1 below:

Quarter from 92.68 to 94.00, but declined in the same year. Entering the first quarter of 2017, it experienced a significant decline from 2016 from 93.71 to 91.73. Then in 2018 a significant decline in the second quarter from 95.74 in the first quarter to 93.47. After being evaluated, South Kalimantan Bank employees have worked in accordance with Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) in terms of service. The quality of service produced should provide consistently good results or even tend to increase. But if seen from the graph, there are inconsistencies in the quality of service in the past three years. In line with the description above, this research is directed to examine how a leader influences the service performance of his employees. So that later the results can be accounted for scientifically, it is necessary to conduct an in-depth study using scientific principles so that the process of improving performance in terms of service can be justified and accepted empirically. In this study, researchers conducted research on employees to assess superiors. This is in line with the theory of "High Performance Work System (HPWS)", where research not only assesses leaders but indirectly assesses overall company performance as a form of service performance improvement influenced by organizational commitment and work motivation factors. The influence of transformational leadership, managerial coaching, and organizational commitment to performance both directly and through motivation has been widely discussed by previous researchers including by Huang et al. (2016).

Based on the background, phenomena, and identification of the problems above, this research is focused on developing an empirical model to develop the quality of service performance in the Regional Development Bank of South Kalimantan in the context of the target. Transformational, Managerial Coaching, and Organizational
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**Picture 1.**

From Picture 1, it shows that the service performance still does not meet South Kalimantan Bank standards. The South Kalimantan Bank's employee performance Appraisal system uses performance Appraisal on a per work unit basis, with contributions from customer service officers (front line). The value of service quality in 2016 increased in the second.
Service Performance Model Through Work Motivation: Analysis of Transformational Leadership, Managerial Coaching, and Organizational Commitments (At the Regional Development Bank of South Kalimantan).

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Based on the description above, the purpose of this study is to find out and analyze:

1. The Effect of Transformational Leadership on Work Motivation,
2. The Effect of Coaching on Work Motivation,
3. The Effect of Organizational Commitment on Work Motivation,
4. The Effect of Transformational Leadership on Service Performance,
5. The Effect of Managerial Coaching on Service Performance,
6. The Effect of Organizational Commitment on Service Performance,
7. Effect of Work Motivation on Service Performance

LITERATURE REVIEW

Service Performance

The concept of performance is the value of a set of employee behaviors that contribute, both positively or negatively to the fulfillment of the organizational goals of Colquitt, LePine, And Wesson (2011). Performance is the result of work that has a strong relationship with the goals of the organization’s strategy, customer satisfaction, and contributing to the economy. Thus, performance is about doing work and the results achieved from the work (Armstrong and Baron, 2004). In line with Armstrong and Baron, according to Wibowo (2016), performance is about what is done and how to do it. Performance can be seen as a process or result of work. Performance is a process of how the work takes place to achieve work results. Performance is basically what employees do or don’t do in carrying out their work (Malhis, et al., 2012). Performance can also be understood as real behavior displayed by everyone as work performance produced by employees according to their role in the organization (Rivai, et al., 2009). Performance is the result produced by a particular job function or activities on a particular job for a certain period of time. The results of the work are the results of abilities, expertise, and desires achieved (Bernardin, et al., 2011). Performance is related to the amount of effort spent by an individual on his job (Robbins, 2014). Performance is an embodiment of the work that has been generated or carried by employees. These results are well recorded so that the achievement of the performance that should be with what happened can be evaluated properly (Suwanto, 2019). Meanwhile according to Ansori, A & Ali, H. (2017) that there are two factors that can improve employee performance, namely employee competence and promotion.

Work motivation

Kinicki and Kreitner (2008) define motivation as the psychological process that awakens and directs behavior that influences goals. Motivation is something that gives encouragement to individuals to do something desired work in accordance with the inspiration or desire of the individual. Individuals who have motivation such as getting energy to do the work while for individuals who do not do something work are considered to have no motivation (Koh, 2015).

Motivation is part of individual behavior that plays a role in providing encouragement to take/do something (decision). Pressure in the business world has increased in various sectors so that individual performance is of particular concern especially with regard to employee motivation (Wassylshen, 2014). According to Kanfer (2017) motivation is something that is able to influence the skills developed by individuals, the work and career pursued by individuals, and the way individuals allocate their resources (for example,
Attention, effort, time, and human and social capital to influence the direction, intensity and the persistence of work activities.

**Transformational Leadership**

According to Colquitt et al. (2009) leadership is as the use of power and influence to direct the activities of followers towards the achievement of goals. That direction can affect the interpretation of event followers, the organization of their work activities, their commitment to important goals, relationships with other followers, or their access to cooperation and support from other work units. Leadership according to Graefeo (2019) is the art and knowledge of creating the future by inspiring employees by informing the goals and desires to be achieved, building confidence in every process to be passed, gaining employee trust sincerely. This understanding teaches us how the role of leaders is very important in creating conditions that are conducive and positive for employees to move together in achieving goals in every organization. Leadership is an important aspect in moving an organization. Graefeo (2019) states that the essence of leadership is the ability to change an idea into an outcome that has a significant impact, so that from this statement the organization can move forward while experiencing setbacks due to various factors in which one of them is leadership. The leader is like a captain for ships trying to sail the vast ocean, so as a leader must know where the goals of the organization will go.

**Managerial Coaching**

Guidance (coaching) is helping someone individually to access what they know in the framework of their duties (Colomo and Richard, 2006), Whitmore (2009), "Coaching is unlocking a person's potential to maximize their own performance. It is helping them to learn rather than teaching them ". With the explanation that coaching opens up one's potential to maximize their own performance. This helps them to learn rather than teach them. Guidance based on Akhtar (2017) explained "coaching is assisting and helping practice that guide employees, groups as well as organizations to obtain new expertise, performance and capability that boost their personal improvement, efficiency and growth". Coaching is accompanying and assisting practices by guiding employees, groups and organizations to acquire new skills, performance and abilities that drive their personal improvement, efficiency, and growth. Kohli (2016) added that coaching is "Coaching is a person-centered, non-diagnostic, explorative conversational method with its sole purpose to facilitate self-reflection that leads to insight of one's own behavior relative to one's environment". This opinion can be interpreted as mentoring is a person-centered, non-diagnostic, explorative method of conversation with the sole purpose of facilitating self-reflection that leads to insight about one's behavior relative to one's environment.

**Organizational Commitment**

Colquitt et al. et al (2011) explain that committed employees are employees who have a strong desire to remain a member of the organization. According to Robbins and Judge (2015), organizational commitment is a situation where employees favor a certain organization and its goals and have a desire to stay as a member in the organization. Organizational commitment is emotional attachment, identification and involvement of individuals with the organization and the desire to remain a member of the organization (Allen and Meyer, 2013). Organizational commitment is one of the key factors in organizational success which can increase work productivity (Adjibolosoo, 2018). Commitment is able to make an organization survive because all forms of commitment owned by workers is a factor needed by the company to survive (Adjibolosoo, 2018). The leader's
organizational commitment is the leader's loyalty to the organization which is reflected in high involvement to achieve the various goals the organization wants to achieve. Leader's loyalty is reflected through the willingness and willingness of the leader to always try to be part of the organization and a strong desire to survive in the organization for an unlimited period (Suwito, 2019).

Conceptual Framework

1. Transformational leadership take effect on Work Motivation

The results of research conducted by Tucuman, Supartha, and Riana (2014), prove there is a significant and positive influence between transformational leadership and employee motivation. The results of this analysis provide information that transformational leadership has a significant and positive effect directly on employee motivation. This means that the stronger the understanding and implementation of transformational leadership, the stronger the motivation of employees. The results of research conducted by Pradita (2017), produce a conclusion that the transformational leadership style has a significant positive effect on motivation. Leadership style has a positive effect on motivation, transformational leadership is a visionary leader who invites the organization's human resources to move towards the vision of the leader. Then the results of research conducted by Deschamps et al. (2016), and Fiaz, M., Su, Q., Amir, I., & Saqib, A. (2017), strengthen the results of research which states that transformational leadership styles can influence employee work motivation. Suhafrono, S., Ali, H. (2017), in analyzing the influence of motivation, discipline and leadership style on work performance found a correlation between motivational factors, work discipline and leadership style on employee work performance. Further research conducted. Paijan, P., Ali, H. (2017) by analyzing the influence of transformational leadership styles, and training on work motivation and on the implications of performance. The results of this analysis reinforce the previous research that transformational leadership style will affect performance both directly and through work motivation.

2. Managerial coaching affects the work motivation of managerial coaching as the development of human skills and knowledge to improve staff performance, which in turn will lead to the achievement of organizational goals. The results of research conducted by Suwito and Yoanda (2018) that the guiding factor between superiors and subordinates is the main factor that can make employees work well together to achieve company goals. The guidance in question is guidance to add insight, ability and skills to overcome the obstacles of the work technician and achieve optimal performance in carrying out his work. Serhan, Al Achy, and Nicolas (2018) in their research findings stated that coaching plays an important role in motivating employees where good coaching provides a healthy work environment and helps employees to work calmly and without pressure. Guidance quality is the main element that contributes to employee motivation. Results of research conducted by Akhtar et al. (2014) states that coaching has a positive impact on employee motivation. The results of this study are in line with those of Brych et al. (2018), Ismail, A., Ahmad, NN, & Zainol, A. (2016), who said that every managerial coaching is very influential and is an important component in growing employee motivation.

3. Organizational commitment influences work motivation.

One that can cause employee dissatisfaction at work is the organizational commitment to its employees. Employees will be motivated if the...
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5 Managerial coaching affects employee performance
The results of several research researchers concluded that one of the factors that can improve employee performance is if every company leader can practice managerial coaching in the company. This is as concluded by some researchers about the relationship between managerial coaching influence with employee performance, as concluded by Ali et al. (2018), Kalkavan and Kârınli (2014), Kim (2014), Sukarjati, Minati, and Wario (2016).

The researchers describe their findings that each development of managerial coaching dimensions can affect employee performance both directly and indirectly.

6 Organizational commitment influences employee performance
As previously discussed, organizational commitment can affect employee performance directly or indirectly. According to the results of several studies that improving performance through organizational commitment is talking about increasing employee satisfaction with the organization. The emergence of employee work motivation due to employee satisfaction from various aspects that occur in the company one of which is the company’s organizational commitment to employees that have an impact on employee commitment to work better and loyal to the company. This influence between organizational commitment to employee performance has been widely discussed by previous researchers such as by Kosasih (2014), Wahyudi and Sudibya (2016), Fu and Depshande (2014), Hafiz A (2017) and Asiedu, Sarfo, and Adjey (2014).

7 Motivation affects employee performance
Motivation is a factor that can encourage employees to achieve organizational goals that have been set. Various aspects can influence an
individual employee motivated to improve his performance. These aspects are, for example, leadership culture, the process of implementing managerial coaching by company leaders and the company's organizational commitment to company employees. These three factors, both directly and indirectly will encourage an increase in employee performance. This is as stated by several studies conducted by Mandey (2015), Tindow, Mekel, and Sendow (2014), Dewi and Wibawa (2016), Supriyadi (2017), Hanafi and Yohana (2017), Dobre, O.-I. (2013), and Ali (2017). Thus, it is clear that the influence of motivation on employee performance is a strong concept in this study. From the description of experts, previous researchers and preliminary surveys that have been conducted by researchers, it can be illustrated through the framework of the research model as shown below:

![Conceptual Framework Diagram]

**Research Hypothesis**

1. H1: Transformational Leadership has a positive effect on Motivation
2. H2: Managerial Coaching has a positive effect on Work Motivation
3. H3: Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Work Motivation
4. H4: Transformational Leadership has a positive effect on Performance
5. H5: Smartphone Addiction has a positive effect on Service Performance
6. H6: Organizational Commitment has a positive effect on Service Performance
7. H7: Work Motivation has a positive effect on Service Performance

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This research is a quantitative study using survey methods that are research conducted on a population but the data analyzed are data from population samples (Ali, H. And Limakrisna, N.2013). The intended survey research is to explain causal relationships or correlations which are commonly referred to as path analysis. The affordable population of this research is all the employees of the Regional Development Bank of South Kalimantan. The sampling technique used is purposive sampling technique, namely sampling with specific goals or considerations. The analysis tool used uses SEM (structural equation modeling) Lisrel 8.8 which requires a lot of research samples.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Model SEM**
Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Test Validity and Reliability of 1st Order CFA

Table 2

Test Validity and Reliability of the 1st Order CFA Measurement Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Variable</th>
<th>Manifest Variable</th>
<th>Estimation Factor Loading</th>
<th>Critical Factor Loading</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Critical Value CR 0.70</th>
<th>Critical Value AVE 0.5</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformational Leadership (KETRAN X1)</td>
<td>MENID 0.99, MEMINS 0.99, MENDU 0.99, KEBIJA 0.99</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial Coaching (MANCOA X2)</td>
<td>KETDER 0.99, PENHEL 0.99, SIEMEN 0.97, MENSER 0.99</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed by researchers (2019)

Based on Figure 3 and Table 2 the results of all on the latent variable Transformational Leadership or KETRAN(X1) and Motivation or MANCOA(X2) are declared valid. Construct Reliability (CR) can be obtained from the calculation of a number of standardized loading factors squared divided by the number of loading factors squared plus the measurement error. While the value of AVE is obtained from the sum of the loading factor divided by the number of variable items (see Fig. 3, Full Model SEM Standardized Solution). Standardize loading factor of latent variables MENID, MEMINS, MENDU, KEBIJA is 0.99 (see diagram SEM 4.25). MENDU 0.02 and MEMINS, MENDU, KEBIJA is 0001, the final result CR value.
is 0.997 and the value AVE is 0.980. Because both CR values
and AV values> Critical values that have been determined are 0.70 and
0.50 thus each variable that measures Transformational Leadership is declared reliable. Similarly,
for a variable Managerial Coaching (MANCOA), all the results of the analysis of Construct Reliability (CR)> 0.7.
And the results of the calculation of average variance error> 0.5, it can be concluded that the reliability of the latent
variables SEKBER, PENKEL, SIKMEN and MENPER are declared reliable.

Goodness of Fit Test
Based on the print out of the Lisrel SEM test results in the
estimated 2ndCFA measurement. Furthermore, researchers will analyze the
suitability of the overall model contained in the statistics
generated by Lisrel by analyzing the compatibility of the
data with the overall model or in Lisrel called Goodness of Fit (GOF). Goodness of Fit (GOF) model fit test aims to test
whether the proposed model has a fit (fit) with the sample data
or not. A model is said to be fit if the sample covariance matrix
is not much different from the estimated covariance matrix
(Edi Riaji, 2018). According to Rangkuti (2016) to determine whether or not a model is suitable then with just 9
measurement items the model is indicated to be fit enough to say that the research model is declared fit.
The following researchers include table 4 of the summary
results of Goodness of Fit measurements or model compatibility:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UKURAN GOF</th>
<th>HASIL ESTIMASI</th>
<th>KРИTERИЯ</th>
<th>KESIMPULAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistis λ²</td>
<td>df = 220</td>
<td>0 &lt; λ² &lt; 2df</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>λ² = 1177.80</td>
<td>2df &lt; λ² ≤ 3 df</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Value</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.05 ≤ p ≤ 1.00</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 &lt; p ≤ 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Harus lebih kecil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>RMSEA ≤ 0.08</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECVI</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Harus lebih kecil dari</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated ECVI(0.18)</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model AIC</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>Harus lebih kecil dari</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated AIC(30.00)</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model CAIC</td>
<td>78.78</td>
<td>Harus lebih kecil dari</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated CAIC(94.47)</td>
<td>Fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>NFI &gt; 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.80 &lt; NFI &lt; 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNFI/TLI</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80 ≤ TLI &lt; 0.90 atau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NFI &gt; 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNFI</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>PNFI &lt; 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>CFI &gt; 0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90 ≤ CFI &lt; 0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>IFI &gt; 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFI</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>RFI &gt; 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>CN &gt; 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Based on the results of the analysis above, the fact that the GOF estimation results as a whole measurement can be concluded that the model is fit. From 16 Goodness of Fit measurement items in this study, 3 measurements did not support this model, the researcher assumed that this model could be accepted in terms of model fit or Goodness of Fit. This means that the sample covariance matrix is not very different from the estimated covariance matrix.

Hypothesis testing

Hypothesis testing will be analyzed based on Figure 3, Figure 4, and Figure 5 from the results of the SEM Lisrel print out. As discussed in the previous sub-chapter, the hypotheses built in this study are seven hypotheses. In this section, the researcher will examine these three hypotheses whether the results of the analysis are the same as the hypotheses that the researchers built in this study. The hypotheses built are as follows:

2. H1: There is a positive and significant effect on transformational leadership on motivation

The model fit test results show that the fit indices are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit Index</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGFI</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed by researchers (2019)

2. H2: There is a positive and significant effect on transformational leadership on service performance
3. H3: There is a positive and significant influence on managerial coaching on motivation
4. H4: There is a positive and significant effect of Managerial coaching on service performance
5. H5: There is a positive and significant effect on organizational commitment to service performance
6. H6: There is a positive and significant effect on organizational commitment motivation
7. H7: There is a positive and significant influence Motivation on service performance

To test the significance of the coefficient of influence between latent variables, we must consult the Z value of the two-tailed test table at \( \alpha = 0.05 \), then the probability value \( Z = 1- (\alpha / 2) = 1-(0.05 / 2) = 0.975 \). From the Z table, a value of 0.975 is obtained by a Z score of 1.96. The Z value of 1.96 was set as a critical value for significance testing (Edi Riadi, 452: 2018).

Picture 4 Struktur T-Value
Structural Equations

\[
\text{MOTIVE} = 0.16 \times \text{KETRAN} + 0.099 \times \text{MANCOA} + 1.18 \times \text{KONG}, \text{Errorvar.} = 0.85, R^2 = 0.059
\]

(0.062) (0.05) (0.31) (0.085)

2.54 1.83 3.78 10.01

\[
\text{KINLA} = 0.078 \times \text{MOTIVE} + 0.031 \times \text{KETRAN} + 0.093 \times \text{MANCOA} + 1.04 \times \text{KONG}, \text{Errorvar.} = 0.14, R^2 = 0.20
\]

(0.038) (0.044) (0.043) (0.23) (0.015)

4.32 2.52 2.18 4.63 9.65

**Picture 6. Structural Equation Model**

Source: Processed by researchers (2019)

**a) First Hypothesis Testing**

There is a positive and significant effect of transformational leadership (KETRAN) on motivation (MOTIVE).

The coefficient of direct influence of transformational leadership (KETRAN) on Motivation (MOTIVE) \( \gamma_1 \) is 0.16, while the error value (sePk) is 0.062. If the value of \( \gamma_1 \) is divided by sepk, a t value of 2.55 is obtained. Because the value of t > 1.96, it can be concluded that the Transformational Leadership coefficient (KETRAN) has a significant direct effect on motivation (MOTIVE). Thus the hypothesis which states that there is a positive and significant influence on transactional-level leadership (KETRAN) on service performance (KINLA) can be accepted.

**b) Testing the Hypothesis Second**

There is a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership (KETRAN) on service performance (KINLA).

The coefficient of direct influence of transformational leadership (KETRAN) on service performance (KINLA) \( \gamma_2 \) is 0.031, while the error value (SEPK) is 0.060. If the value of \( \gamma_2 \) is divided by sepk, a t value of 2.53 is obtained. Because the value of t > 1.96, it can be concluded that the Transformational Leadership coefficient has a direct effect on service performance (KINLA) significantly. Thus the hypothesis which states that there is a positive and significant influence on

**c) Hypothesis Testing Third**

There is a positive and significant effect of Managerial coaching (MANCOA) on Motivation (MOTIVE).

The coefficient of direct influence of Manager Coaching (MANCOA) on Motivation (MOTIVE) \( \gamma_1 \) is 0.099, while the error value (sePk) is 0.060. If the value of \( \gamma_1 \) is divided by sepk, a t value of 1.98 is obtained. Because the value of t > 1.96, it can be concluded that the coefficient of Managerial Coaching (MANCOA) has a significant direct effect on Motivation (MOTIVE). Thus the hypothesis which states that there is a positive and significant influence on Manager Coaching (MANCOA) on Motivation (MOTIVE) is acceptable.

**d) Hypothesis Testing Fourth**

There is a positive and significant influence of Managerial coaching (MANCOA) on service performance (KINLA).

The coefficient of direct managerial influence (MANCOA) on service Performance (KINLA) \( \gamma_4 \) is 0.093, while the error value (sePk) is 0.043. If the value of \( \gamma_4 \) is divided by sepk, a t value of 2.18 is obtained. Because the value of t > 1.96, it can be concluded that the coefficient of Managerial Coaching has a direct effect on service performance (KINLA) significantly. Thus the hypothesis which states that there is a positive
and significant Managerial Coaching (MANCOA) effect on service performance (KINLA) can be accepted.

e) Testing the Hypothesis Fifth

There is a positive and significant influence of Organizational Commitment (KOMOR) on service performance (KINLA). The coefficient of direct influence of Organizational Commitment (KOMOR) on Service Performance (KINLA) $\gamma_5$ is 1.114, while the error value (sePk) is 0.23. If the value of $\gamma_5$ is divided by sepk, a T value of 4.65 is obtained. Because the value of T > 1.96 it can be concluded that the coefficient of Organizational Commitment (Komor) directly influence the Service performance (KINLA) significantly. Thus the hypothesis which states that there is a positive and significant influence of Organizational Commitment (KOMOR) on service performance (KINLA) can be accepted.

f) Hypothesis Testing Sixth

There is a positive and significant effect on Organizational Commitment on motivation. The coefficient of direct influence of Organizational Commitment (KOMOR) on Motivation (MOTIVE) $\gamma_6$ is 1.18, while the error value (sePk) is 0.31. If the value of $\gamma_6$ is divided by sepk, a T value of 3.79 is obtained. Because the value of T > 1.96, it can be concluded that the coefficient of Organizational Commitment (KOMOR) significantly influences motivation (MOTIVE). Thus the hypothesis which states that there is a positive and significant influence of Organizational Commitment (KOMOR) on Motivation (MOTIVE) can be accepted.

g) Hypothesis Testing Seventh

There is a positive and significant influence Motivation on service performance. The coefficient of direct influence Motivation (MOTIVE) on service Performance (KINLA) $\gamma_7$ is 0.078, while the error value (sePk) is 0.018. If the value of $\gamma_7$ is divided by sepk, a T value of 4.33 is obtained. Because the value of T > 1.96 it can be concluded that the coefficient of motivation (MOTIVE) direct effect tehadap of service performance (KINLA) significantly. Thus the hypothesis which states that there is a positive and significant influence of motivation (MOTIVE) on service performance (KINLA) can be accepted.

**DISCUSSION**

1) There is a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership on motivation. These results prove both theoretically and empirically put forward by several experts about the influence of transformational leadership on motivation including those put forward by Banks (2016), (Elmi et al., 2016), (Rival et al., 2017), (Masyduhalik et al., 2016), (Bastari et al., 2020), (Djoko Setyo Widodo, P. Eddy Samuel Silitonga, 2017), the results of these experts argue that there is a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership on motivation. In conducting research, researchers found that although the effect was significant, in terms of quality the effect of transformational leadership variables on motivation in driving service performance in companies was still small.

2) There is a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership on service performance

Based on the results of data analysis that has been presented both through path analysis and hypothesis testing that transformational leadership has a significant direct effect on service performance. This indicates that any transformational leadership culture that is applied to the South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank company will affect the performance of employees of the South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank. Corporate leadership values such as the spirit of cooperation, strong vision,
being able to provide direction, always optimistic, caring, being a subordinate raw model, being able to solve every problem, respecting, and providing support are values that can directly improve employee performance. employee attitudes like this because every individual employee is satisfied with the transformational leadership values that the company applies. 

The results of this study confirm the results of research from Suwito and Yolanda (2018), (Djoko Setyo Widodo, P. Eddy Samusi Silitonga, 2017), (Widodo et al., 2017), (Riyanto et al., 2017),

3) **There is a positive and significant influence of Managerial coaching on motivation**

Referring to the analysis of the coefficient of determination can be concluded that the influence of managerial coaching on motivation is very large. This means that South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank employees have a perception that managerial coaching can provide benefits and can foster motivation in work so that it can improve the performance services of the Bank's employee to customers. From the data that has been described both in the hypothesis test and path significance test, it indicates to us that the culture of coaching manager is something that is expected by the employees of the Regional Development Bank of South Kalimantan to improve their skills and knowledge. Some indicators that can be used as a reference to measure each individual employee whether motivation arises to improve performance when leaders practice value 1 namely the emergence of openness, group approach, mutual respect, and accepting differences in each individual employee. This culture will motivate employees to be more 1 of the company and ultimately an increase in service performance will be achieved.

The results of the analysis of this study confirm the results of previous studies conducted including (Rivaï et al., 2017), (Prayetno & Ali, 2020), (Bastafi et al., 2020) and Brych, et al. (2018). Where the results of their study found a positive and significant effect of 1 on motivation.

4) **There is a positive and significant influence of Managerial coaching on service performance**

The results of the analysis of both path analysis and hypothesis can provide an illustration for us that the influence of 1 on service performance is significant. Which means that any change in factors that affect variables 1 will have an impact on service performance. Judging from the results of the path analysis the magnitude of the influence of 1 on service performance indicates very little. The magnitude of this influence shows us that factors such as openness, leadership approaches to groups, the attitude of leaders in respecting subordinates and the attitude of leaders in accepting differences of opinion have not been felt by employees. Unless all of these factors can increase employee motivation at work, it will greatly affect performance.

The results of this study also confirm the results of research conducted by (Djiojo & Ali, 2012), (Prihartono & Ali, 2020), (Ali et al., 2016) and Asiedu, et al (2014). Where the results of their study found that there is a positive and significant influence of 1 on service performance.

5) **There is a positive and significant effect of organizational commitment to service performance**

The results of path analysis and hypothesis testing show that the effect of organizational commitment on service performance is very small although the effect is significant which means that any change in factors or variables, both forming organizational commitment variables and service performance has a close relationship where every change in one variable will have an impact on
changes in other variables. The results of the analysis in this study provide the fact that organizational commitment factors have a close relationship to employee performance, this is shown both from the results of the analysis of the significance of the influence and the results of the analysis of the coefficient of determination of the influence of organizational commitment factors on service performance. The results of the significance analysis provide a fact that the effect of organizational commitment on performance both directly and indirectly is very influential. The results of this study also confirm the results of research conducted by (Harini et al., 2020), (Masyuzalik et al., 2016), (Prayetto & Ali, 2017), and Asiedu, et al (2014). Their results stated "There is a positive and significant effect on Organizational Commitment (KOMOR) on service performance (KINLA)."

6) **There is a positive and significant influence of organizational commitment to motivation** Referring to the results of both the path analysis and hypothesis analysis the facts obtained from the analysis result that there is a positive and significant influence of organizational commitment to motivation. This can be seen from the results of structural test models or equation models where the value of t Arithmetic> from t critical. Which implies that there is a positive and significant influence of organizational commitment variables on motivation. Any changes to the organizational commitment factor will directly affect motivation. These results also confirm the results of research conducted by, Gagne et. al (2015), Posey, Robert, & Lowry (2015), Cunningham & Mahoney (2004), Afifi & Ramadhon (2015), Kim, et.al (2015) and Choi, et.al (2016), Selma (2011), Gagne et.al (2015), Posey, Roberts & Lowry (2015), Tremblay, Blanchard, Taylor, Pelletier & Villeneuve (2009), Sharpe (2010), Afifi & Romadhon (2015), and Indarti & Anidat (2015). Their results say, "There is a positive and significant effect of organizational commitment on motivation".

7) **There is a positive and significant influence of motivation on service performance**

The results of the Analysis in this study indicate that motivation will affect service performance if there is an influence from transformational leadership factors, managerial coaching and organizational commitment. The biggest factor influencing motivation in creating performance service is managerial coaching and organizational commitment, while the smallest factor affecting motivation is transformational leadership. So it can be concluded that each employee will be motivated to work optimally to produce good performance through encouragement from managerial coaching factors and organizational commitment. These results confirm the results of research from studies conducted by (Bastari et al., 2020), (Chauhan et al., 2019), (Prayetto & Ali, 2020), Dobre, O-I . (2013).

**CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

**Conslusion**

Based on the results of data analysis and the discussion that has been done, some conclusions can be drawn, as follows:

1) **There is a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership on motivation even though the level of influence is weak**

2) **There is a positive and significant influence of transformational leadership on motivation both directly or indirectly through the motivation variable as a mediator variable.**

3) **The positive and significant influence of managerial coaching on motivation. Where the level of relationship between these two variables is quite strong And significant**

4) **There is a positive and significant influence of managerial coaching variables on performance service both directly and indirectly through motivational variables as mediating variables**
on service performance variables. The level of relationship between these three variables is quite strong.

5) There is a positive and significant influence of the variable organizational commitment to motivation with a fairly strong influence level.

6) There is a positive and significant influence of the variable organizational commitment on performance service both directly and indirectly through motivational mediator variables. The effect of the relationship between these two variables is quite high.

7) There is a positive and significant influence of the motivational variable on service performance. The relationship between motivation variables and performance is service influenced by variables of transformational leadership, managerial coaching and organizational commitment.

Suggestion

(a) The object of research in this study is still very limited, only examining the population of South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank employees. Therefore, the next researcher is expected to be able to add research objects, especially the population of employees and employees of the entire South Kalimantan Regional Development Bank throughout South Kalimantan, so that the conclusions drawn in future studies will be far more perfect. As whether these variables can affect motivation and performance.

(b) For further research, it is expected to examine the variables that are not observed by researchers. Where referring to this study the effect of transformational leadership on motivation can only be explained by the variable transformational leadership about 5.9% the remaining 94.1% is a variable that is not observed by researchers. The influence of leadership on service performance can only be explained by about 17% by transformational leadership variables while the rest are variables that are not observed by researchers. The influence of managerial coaching on motivation can only be explained about 59% by the exogenous variable managerial coaching, while the rest is not observed by researchers. The influence of motivation on service performance can only be explained by about 20% by endogenous variables. The remaining 80% is an unobserved variable by researchers.
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