

The Effect of Silver Nanoparticles on BRAF Gene Expression

Gulboy Abdolmajeed Nasir^{1*}, Mohammed Ayyed Najm², Ammar Lateef Hussein³

¹Division of Basic Sciences, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq

²Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Rafidain University College, Baghdad, Iraq.

³Biochemistry Department, College of Medicine, University of Tikrit, Iraq

Corresponding Author: Gulboy Abdolmajeed Nasir

E-mail: gulboy.nasir@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

The recent studies suggested the possible toxicities or genetic alterations associated with biological and medical applications of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs). The current research is directed to see if AgNPs administration can lead to some changes in expression of BRAF gene in selected body organs tissues. Fifty-six male of *mus musculus* (Balb/C) mice from the animal house of Al-Nahrain Centre of Biotechnology were used. These animals were divided randomly to seven groups (eight mice in each group), one of these groups represented the control group, three groups were subjected to different doses of AgNPs (0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg of body weight) for one week, and the remaining three groups were subjected to three different doses of AgNPs (0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg of body weight) for two weeks. Liver, spleen, brain and kidneys tissue samples from each mouse in all groups (including control group) were collected, RNA was extracted and cDNAs were synthesized and then used for real time PCR and qRT-PCR Analysis. The data generated in this study indicates that the hepatic and spleen tissues expression of BRAF gene is significantly linked to AgNPs administration, also, these data showed a high significant relation between AgNPs administration and the expression of this gene in brain and kidneys tissues.

Keywords: AgNPs; BRAF; Al-Rafidain University College.

Correspondence:

Gulboy Abdolmajeed Nasir

Division of Basic Sciences, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq

E-mail: gulboy.nasir@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

INTRODUCTION

The last decades shows an increment in the production of different types of material containing synthesized nanoparticles (NPs), because their synthesis is become easier, in addition to the growing need of these NPs to be involved in different industrial fields (1, 2). Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) represent the most important kind of NPs for many reasons, like antibacterial activity against various species (3, 4, 5), affordable manufacturing cost (6), and their ability to be synthesized in different shapes (7). AgNPs are involved in wide range of daily used medical and healthcare products, furthermore, they are used in the food, sports and clothing industries (8; 9; 10). The released Ag⁺ ions from AgNPs are extremely worrying as they can cause toxicity (11). The safety of AgNPs is an important point to be considered before estimating their effects (12). Despite some studies considered the release of Ag⁺ ions are the main cause of AgNPs possible toxicity (13; 14; 15), but other studies said that the toxicity of these nanoparticles might not be related to Ag⁺ ions dissolution only (16; 17). However, some studies reported recently that in some cases, metal nanoparticles can be more toxic than the metal ions themselves (18; 19).

The BRAF protein which encoded by a BRAF gene is involved in one of the signaling pathway (MAP kinase/ERK), which regulates some important cell functions (20). The products of BRAF gene have been studied, and their actions reported to be contradictory as some BRAF gene products were act as activator to the RAS/MAPK pathway, while the other products were act as silencer to this pathway (21; 22; 23; 24; 25). Furthermore, it had been found that overexpression of this gene or its hyperactivity can interfere with the RAS/MAPK pathway, which can result in many serious

developmental disorders (26,27,28), and also it can lead to various cancer types (29). Also, somatic mutations of this gene have been approved in Langerhans cell histiocytosis (30; 31), Erdheim–Chester disease (32), and may types of human cancers (23; 33; 34; 35; 36; 37).

The current study was conducted to examine the effects of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on of BRAF gene expression in selected body organs tissues (Liver, Spleen, Brain and Kidneys).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles

The AgNPs were prepared and characterized in previous study by Nasir *et al.*, (2016), who synthesized these particles as (40±5) nm in size and like spherical in shape and used olive leaves extract as a reducing agent and D-sorbitol as capping agent (38).

Experimental Animals

In this experiment, fifty-six male of *mus musculus* (Balb/C) mice (weighted 23-35 g, ages 8-10 weeks) from the animal house of Al-Nahrain Centre of Biotechnology were used. The mice were kept in plastic cages under controlled conditions of temperature (23-25) °C, and a 12-h light / dark cycle (39), and left for 7 days for acclimation period before starting the experiment (40). The animals were divided randomly to seven groups, each group contained eight mice in independent cage, and the mice were fed a standard pellets diet with enough water daily as a follow:

- The 1st and 4th groups were challenged intraperitoneally dose of 0.25mg/kg of body weight of AgNPs (50 µl) for 7 and 14 consecutive days respectively.

- The 2nd and 5th groups were received 0.5mg/kg of body weight of AgNPs (50 µl) intraperitoneally for 7 and 14 consecutive days respectively.
- The 3rd and 6th groups were challenged intraperitoneally dose of 1mg/kg of body weight of AgNPs (50 µl) for 7 and 14 consecutive days respectively.
- The 7th group was left as a control group without receiving AgNPs solution with intraperitoneally dose (50 µl) of distilled water.

Tissue Sampling

At the next day of the end of the dosing period (after 7 days), the 1st, 2nd and 3rd groups of mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation (Euthanasia) (39), while the 4th, 5th and 6th groups of mice were sacrificed at the next day of the end of the dosing period (after 14 days). The mice of the 7th group (control group) were also sacrificed by cervical dislocation (Euthanasia).

Tissue preparation for RNA extraction

Tissues have been prepared for histopathological examination according to the method of Junqueira and Carneiro (2003), using the paraffin sections technique for

livers, spleens, kidneys and brains (41). Tissue paraffin blocks were cut using electrical ultra-microtome to prepare 5-8 sections of semi-thin sections (0.5-10µm) from each block and placed in Eppendorf tube to extract RNA samples.

Pre-Extraction Preparations

1- DNase I Stock Solution was prepared by dissolving the lyophilized enzyme in 550 µl RNase-free water.

2- RPE Buffer was prepared by adding an amount of 44 µl of absolute ethanol (96-100%) to the bottle containing 11µl buffer RPE concentration.

Purification of total RNA from Formalin Fixed Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) tissue sections was made by using Qiagen Kit and RNA Extraction also done by using Qiagen Kit, the eluted RNA was placed in new tubes and stored at (-20°C).

cDNA Synthesis (Reverse Transcription):

The total RNA was reversed transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit, Applied Biosystem, Part No.4387406. The cDNAs were then stored at -20°C to be later used in real time PCR. RT-PCR Analysis.

Table 1: Thermal Cycling Condition Program

Temperature	Heated Lid	Step 1	Step2	Step3	Step4	Step5
	111°C	25°C	37°C	42°C	75°C	4°C
Time(min.)	--	10	10	60	5	∞

Note: These conditions are optimized for use with High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits.

Quantifications were performed in duplicate for each sample using SYBR Green master mix. Duplicate reactions showing differences of more than 0.3Ct were repeated. Two non-template controls were also included in each run. Control gene mRNA concentrations were amplified

and used to normalize the BRAF mRNA levels, and correct synthesis of cDNA as well as the calculations descriptions. Primers designing were done using Primer 3 Plus for BRAF gene and B-ACTIN gene as a control gene.

Table 2: The Primers used for BRAF and B-ACTIN genes.

Primer	Sequence (5' →3' direction)	Melting temperature Tm (°C)
B-ACTIN - F	CCTGAACCCTAAGCCAAC	60
B-ACTIN - R	ACGTACATGGCTGGGGTGT	62
BRAF-F	GTCTCAGTTCAGGTGTCCTTCCTG	63.9
BRAF-R	AGACGTCTTGTGTCGTGGCTACTG	65.9

The adopted method during qRT-PCR was the relative quantization (RQ), which uses the comparative cycle threshold (CT) to determine the change in the expression of the nucleic acid sequence (target) in a sample relative to the same sample at time zero (42).

SYBR Green assay was performed in a 20µl reaction arranged (10 µl of master mix SYBR green, 4.6 µl of water, 0.6 µl primer, 3 µl cDNA). Plate was setup in 96-well plate for each gene. The qRT-PCR protocol for SYBR Green assay was as a follow:

Stage 1: 50 °C for 2min., Stage 2: 95°C for 10 min., Stage 3 included a three- steps cycle procedure (denaturation 95°C for 10 sec., annealing 62°C for 15 sec. and extension 72°C for 45 sec.) repeated for 50 cycles, then cooled to 40°C for 10 sec.

QRT-PCR Data Analysis (42)

The gene expression levels, and fold change were quantified by measuring the threshold cycle (Ct), which is linked to the target molecules concentration in the

reaction in an opposite manner. The Ct data were normalized by using endogenous control gene (B-ACTIN gene). For a selected control gene, the arithmetic or geometric mean of Ct values is considered the normalization factor.

$\Delta CT \text{ sample} = Ct \text{ sample} - Ct \text{ endogenous control}$

$\Delta CT \text{ calibrator} = Ct \text{ control} - Ct \text{ endogenous control}$

The normalized data of ΔCT are applied in the measurement of the relative fold change of gene expression, by using specific calibrators (control sample):

$\Delta \Delta CT = \Delta CT \text{ sample} - \Delta CT \text{ calibrator}$

$\text{Relative copy number} = \text{Fold change} = 2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$

RESULTS

BRAF Gene Expression

The results showed that there were variable tissue expression levels of BRAF gene in different organs (liver,

spleen, brain and kidneys) among experimental groups and also between experimental groups and control,

according to the given dose of AgNPs and /or duration of AgNPs administration (Table-3).

Table 3: Effect of different doses of AgNPs on BRAF gene expression in four different body organs tissues, after one week and two weeks.

Group (Dose)	Mean ± SE			
	Liver	Spleen	Brain	Kidney
0.25 mg/kg for 7 days	0.0551 ± 0.0232 ab	0.0078 ± 0.0001 c	0.0656 ± 0.0278 d	0.0405 ± 0.0138 cd
0.5 mg/kg for 7 days	0.0954 ± 0.0276 a	0.0093 ± 0.0019 bc	0.2010 ± 0.0458 bcd	0.1228 ± 0.0309 ab
1 mg/kg for 7 days	0.0664 ± 0.0270 ab	0.0293 ± 0.0060 ab	0.3565 ± 0.0308 bcd	0.1043 ± 0.0099 bcd
0.25 mg/kg for 14 days	0.0725 ± 0.0180 ab	0.0314 ± 0.0122 a	0.4577 ± 0.1039 bc	0.1678 ± 0.0216 ab
0.5 mg/kg for 14 days	0.0507 ± 0.008 ab	0.0274 ± 0.0111 abc	0.4815 ± 0.0765 b	0.1077 ± 0.0061 bc
1 mg/kg for 14 days	0.0299 ± 0.0072 b	0.0191 ± 0.0053 abc	0.9291 ± 0.2464 a	0.1824 ± 0.0496 a
Control	0.0216 ± 0.0054 b	0.0169 ± 0.0041 abc	0.1436 ± 0.0306 cd	0.0314 ± 0.0037 d
LSD value	0.0538 *	0.0207 *	0.317 **	0.0736 **
* (P<0.05), ** (P<0.01). In each column, means of different symbols are significantly different.				

The highest level of liver BRAF gene expression was 0.0954, which achieved after 1 week of daily administration of AgNPs dose (0.5 mg/kg of body weight) (P<0.05), while the lowest liver expression was 0.0299, which came after 2 weeks of daily administration of AgNPs dose (1 mg/kg of body weight) (P<0.05).

The spleen BRAF gene gave 0.0314 as the largest expression level, which came after 2 week of daily administration of only 0.25 mg of AgNPs for each kg of body weight (P<0.05), while the lowest spleen expression was 0.0078 (even lower than spleen BRAF gene expression in the control group), which was seen after 1 week of daily administration of the same dose of AgNPs (P<0.05).

Brain BRAF gene expression reached its maximum value (0.9291) only after daily administration of maximum AgNPs dose (1 mg/kg of body weight) for 14 days (P<0.01), while the minimum value of brain expression of BRAF gene was 0.0656 (even lower than brain BRAF gene expression in control group), which was recorded after daily administration of AgNPs (0.25 mg/kg of body weight) for one week (P<0.01).

The kidney registered 0.1824 as the highest number of BRAF gene expression, and this was achieved after of daily administration of highest dose of AgNPs (1 mg/kg of body weight) for 2 weeks (P<0.01), while the lowest kidney expression was 0.0405, which came after 1 week of daily administration of AgNPs with a dose equal to 0.25 mg/kg of body weight (P<0.01).

DISCUSSION

As the use of the products containing silver nanoparticles are increased, the possibility of toxicity is propagated on the general health and even on the environment. Recent

in vitro and in vivo studies in the vertebrate revealed that AgNPs can harm the immune system, in addition to cellular and genetic toxicity. Moreover, other in vivo and in vitro studies reported that AgNPs can cause variable genetic toxicities even in relatively low or nontoxic doses in several kinds of human or mammalian tissues (43).

The present study showed that there were variable levels of liver BRAF gene expression with the different administered AgNPs dose for the first 7 days, then, there was a gradual decline in the liver BRAF gene expression with the increment of AgNPs dose for the second 7 days. Anyhow, in both durations (7 and 14 days), the overall liver BRAF gene expression level ranges in the 6 different study groups were higher than the expression level in control group.

It is believed that BRAF overexpression can also result in overactivation of the MAPK pathway (44). Hepatic cancer initiation and progression is a complicated process, it includes several steps starting by genetic modifications like oncogenes activation and the suppression of tumor suppressor genes, and proceeds to cause the stimulation of proliferation, differentiation, angiogenesis and other processes which in turn lead to the disruption of essential cellular functions (45). It had been reported that the activation of RAS/MAPK pathway together with the dysregulation of cell proliferation represent the dominant mechanism of hepatocellular carcinoma (46). Other studies suggested that the RAS/MAPK pathway activation is considered the main mechanism of hepatic cancer initiation and progression (47), and about 50-100% of hepatic tumors showed that there was an activation of this pathway (48, 49, 50).

Regarding spleen, the present data showed that there was a gradual increment in the BRAF gene expression

with the increment of administered AgNPs dose for the first 7 days, but then, the next 7 days showed that there was a gradual decline in the BRAF gene expression with the increment of AgNPs dose. In addition, the study groups who received 0.25mg and 0.5mg of AgNPs per kg of body weight for one week showed a spleen BRAF gene expression level even lower than the expression level in brain BRAF gene in control group.

There were two specific mouse models had been generated to study the inducible and endogenous BRAF E600 gene expression (51). The inducible model showed the expression of this gene is associated with increased liver and spleen cellular proliferation, but the other model showed that the gene expression causes an embryonic lethality (52).

The current data revealed that there was a gradual increment in the brain BRAF gene expression with the increment of administered AgNPs dose in the first and second weeks. Furthermore, the study group who received 0.25mg of AgNPs per kg of body weight for one week showed a brain BRAF gene expression level even lower than the expression level in brain BRAF gene in control group.

Some studies approved that BRAF gene alteration can lead to some types of brain tumors, furthermore, they suggested that the growth of these tumors is connected with the MAPK/ERK pathway activation, as that happened in other types of non-brain cancers (53; 54). Raabe *et al.*, (2011) established that in addition to its ability stimulation tumor growth, activation of BRAF gene in the brain can also lead to oncogene-induced senescence in some types of brain cancer (55). It had been suggested that there are possible benefits of utilization of BRAF gene targeted therapy in brain tumors treatments (56).

The present study showed that there were variable levels in the kidney's tissues BRAF gene expression with the different administered AgNPs dose for the first and second weeks. Anyhow, as happened with liver BRAF gene expression, the overall expression level ranges of the BRAF gene in the kidney's tissues for both durations (7 and 14 days) were higher in the 6 different study groups in comparison to its expression level in control group.

Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is characterized by the formation and progressive enlargement of fluid-filled cysts, leading to massively enlarged kidneys, interstitial fibrosis and a decline in renal function. In ADPKD, mutations in the PKD genes disrupt intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis, relieving BRAF inhibition and allowing cAMP stimulation of the BRAF/MEK/ERK pathway. Archana Raman *et al.*, (2018) reported that aberrant BRAF activation is sufficient to induce cyst formation and accelerates disease progression in ADPKD mice (57).

CONCLUSION

The data generated in this study indicates that the hepatic and spleen tissues expression of BRAF gene is significantly linked to AgNPs administration, also, these data showed a high significant relation between AgNPs administration and the expression of this gene in brain and kidneys tissues. So, these results reflect the possible toxicity of AgNPs which is represented by overactivation of BRAF gene and its harmful consequences in different organs.

REFERENCES

1. Nowack, B., and Bucheli, T. D., 2007. Occurrence, behavior and effects of nanoparticles in the environment. *Environ. Pollut.* 150, 5–22.
2. Sun, T. Y., Mitran, D. M., Bornhöft, N. A., Scheringer, M., Hungerbühler, K., and Nowack, B., 2017. Envisioning nano release dynamics in a changing world: using dynamic probabilistic modeling to assess future environmental emissions of engineered nanomaterials. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 2854–2863.
3. Durán, N., Durán, M., De Jesus, M. B., Seabra, A. B., Fávaro, W. J., and Nakazato, G., 2016. Silver nanoparticles: a new view on mechanistic aspects on antimicrobial activity. *Nanomed. Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* 12, 789–799.
4. Kumar, S. S. D., Houreld, N. N., Kroukamp, E. M., and Abrahamse, H., 2018. Cellular imaging and bactericidal mechanism of green-synthesized silver nanoparticles against human pathogenic bacteria. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. Biol.* 178, 259–269.
5. Tian, X., Jiang, X., Welch, C., Croley, T. R., Wong, T.-Y., Chen, C., *et al.*, 2018. Bactericidal effects of silver nanoparticles on Lactobacilli and the underlying mechanism. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 10, 8443–8450.
6. Capek, I., 2004. Preparation of metal nanoparticles in water-in-oil (w/o) microemulsions. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 110, 49–74.
7. Sohn, E. K., Johari, S. A., Kim, T. G., Kim, J. K., Kim, E., Lee, J. H., *et al.*, 2015. Aquatic toxicity comparison of silver nanoparticles and silver nanowires. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2015:893049.
8. Alarcon, E. I., Griffith, M., and Udekwu, K. I., 2015. *Silver Nanoparticle Applications.* Springer International Publishing.
9. Wei, L., Lu, J., Xu, H., Patel, A., Chen, Z.-S., and Chen, G., 2015. Silver nanoparticles: synthesis, properties, and therapeutic applications. *Drug Discov. Today* 20, 595–601.
10. McGillicuddy, E., Murray, I., Kavanagh, S., Morrison, L., Fogarty, A., Cormican, M., *et al.*, 2017. Silver nanoparticles in the environment: sources, detection and ecotoxicology. *Sci. Total Environ.* 575, 231–246.
11. Ratte, H. T., 1999. Bioaccumulation and toxicity of silver compounds: a review. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 18, 89–108.
12. Yue, Y., Li, X., Sigg, L., Suter, M. J., Pillai, S., Behra, R., *et al.*, 2017. Interaction of silver nanoparticles with algae and fish cells: a side-by-side comparison. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* 15:16.
13. Li, L., Wu, H., Ji, C., Van Gestel, C. A., Allen, H. E., and Peijnenburg, W. J., 2015. A metabolomic study on the responses of daphnia magna exposed to silver nitrate and coated silver nanoparticles. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 119, 66–73.
14. Sakamoto, M., Ha, J. Y., Yoneshima, S., Kataoka, C., Tatsuta, H., and Kashiwada, S., 2015. Free silver ion as the main cause of acute and chronic toxicity of silver nanoparticles to cladocerans. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 68, 500–509.
15. Shen, M. H., Zhou, X. X., Yang, X. Y., Chao, J. B., Liu, R., and Liu, J. F., 2015. Exposure Medium: key in identifying free Ag⁺ as the exclusive species of silver nanoparticles with acute toxicity to *Daphnia magna*. *Sci. Rep.* 5:9674.
16. Fabrega, J., Luoma, S. N., Tyler, C. R., Galloway, T. S., and Lead, J. R., 2011. Silver nanoparticles: behaviour

- and effects in the aquatic environment. *Environ. Int.* 37, 517–531.
17. Sørensen, S. N., Hjorth, R., Delgado, C. G., Hartmann, N. B., and Baun, A., 2015. Nanoparticle ecotoxicity-physical and/or chemical effects. *Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag.* 11, 722–724.
 18. Pakrashi, S., Tan, C., and Wang, W. X., 2017. Bioaccumulation-based silver nanoparticle toxicity in *Daphnia magna* and maternal impacts. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 36, 3359–3366.
 19. Abramenko, N. B., Demidova, T. B., Abkhalimov, E. V., Ershov, B. G., Krysanov, E. Y., and Kustov, L. M., 2018. Ecotoxicity of different-shaped silver nanoparticles: case of zebrafish embryos. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 347, 89–94.
 20. Peyssonnaud, C., Eychene, A., 2001. The Raf/MEK/ERK pathway: new concepts of activation. *Biol. Cell* 93, 53–62.
 21. Rajagopalan, H., Bardelli, A., Lengauer, C., Kinzler, K.W., Vogelstein, B., Velculescu, V.E., 2002. Tumorigenesis: RAF/RAS oncogenes and mismatch-repair status. *Nature* 418, 934.
 22. Davies, H., Bignell, G.R., Cox, C., Stephens, P., Edkins, S., Clegg, S., Teague, J., Woffendin, H., Garnett, M.J., Bottomley, W., Davis, N., Dicks, E., Ewing, R., Floyd, Y., Gray, K., Hall, S., Hawes, R., Hughes, J., Kosmidou, V., Menzies, A., Mould, C., Parker, A., Stevens, C., Watt, S., Hooper, S., Wilson, R., Jayatilake, H., Gusterson, B.A., Cooper, C., Shipley, J., Hargrave, D., Pritchard-Jones, K., Maitland, N., Chenevix-Trench, G., Riggins, G.J., Bigner, D.D., Palmieri, G., Cossu, A., Flanagan, A., Nicholson, A., Ho, J.W., Leung, S.Y., Yuen, S.T., Weber, B.L., Seigler, H.F., Darrow, T.L., Paterson, H., Marais, R., Marshall, C.J., Wooster, R., Stratton, M.R., Futreal, P.A., 2002. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature* 417, 949–954.
 23. Makita, Y., Narumi, Y., Yoshida, M., Niihori, T., Kure, S., Fujieda, K., Matsubara, Y., Aoki, Y., 2007. Leukemia in Cardio-faciocutaneous (CFC) syndrome: a patient with a germline mutation in BRAF proto-oncogene. *J. Pediatr. Hematol. Oncol.* 29, 287–290.
 24. Cho, N.Y., Choi, M., Kim, B.H., Cho, Y.M., Moon, K.C., Kang, G.H., 2006. BRAF and KRAS mutations in prostatic adenocarcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 119, 1858–1862.
 25. Aoki, Y., Matsubara, Y., 2013. Ras/MAPK syndromes and childhood hemato-oncological diseases. *Int. J. Hematol.* 97, 30–36.
 26. Rodriguez-Viciana, P., Tetsu, O., Tidyman, W.E., Estep, A.L., Conger, B.A., Cruz, M.S., McCormick, F., Rauen, K.A., 2006. Germline mutations in genes within the MAPK pathway cause cardio-faciocutaneous syndrome. *Science* 311, 1287–1290.
 27. Tartaglia, M., Mehler, E.L., Goldberg, R., Zampino, G., Brunner, H.G., Kremer, H., van der Burg, I., Crosby, A.H., Ion, A., Jeffery, S., Kalidas, K., Patton, M.A., Kucherlapati, R.S., Gelb, B.D., 2001. Mutations in PTPN11, encoding the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2, cause Noonan syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 29, 465–468.
 28. Romano, A.A., Allanson, J.E., Dahlgren, J., Gelb, B.D., Hall, B., Pierpont, M.E., Roberts, A.E., Robinson, W., Takemoto, C.M., Noonan, J.A., 2010. Noonan syndrome: clinical features, diagnosis, and management guidelines. *Pediatrics* 126, 746–759.
 29. Muhammad Ramzan Manwar Hussain, Mukhtiar Baig, Hussein Sheik Ali Mohamoud, Zaheer Ulhaq, Daniel C. Hoessli, Ghaidaa Siraj Khogeer, Ranem Radwan Al-Sayed, Jumana Yousuf Al-Aama, 2015. BRAF gene: From human cancers to developmental Syndromes. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 22, 359–373.
 30. Badalian-Very, G., Vergilio, J.A., Degar, B.A., MacConaill, L.E., Brandner, B., Calicchio, M.L., Kuo, F.C., Ligon, A.H., Stevenson, K.E., Kehoe, S.M., Garraway, L.A., Hahn, W.C., Meyerson, M., Fleming, M.D., Rollins, B.J., 2010. Recurrent BRAF mutations in Langerhans cell histiocytosis. *Blood* 116, 1919–1923.
 31. Satoh, T., Smith, A., Sarde, A., Lu, H.C., Mian, S., Trouillet, C., Mufti, G., Emile, J.F., Fraternali, F., Donadieu, J., Geissmann, F., 2012. B-RAF mutant alleles associated with Langerhans cell histiocytosis, a granulomatous pediatric disease. *PLoS ONE* 7, e33891.
 32. Haroche, J., Charlotte, F., Arnaud, L., von Deimling, A., Helias-Rodzewicz, Z., Hervier, B., Cohen-Aubart, F., Launay, D., Lesot, A., Mokhtari, K., Canioni, D., Galmiche, L., Rose, C., Schmalzing, M., Croockewit, S., Kambouchner, M., Copin, M.C., Fraitag, S., Sahm, F., Brousse, N., Amoura, Z., Donadieu, J., Emile, J.F., 2012. High prevalence of BRAF V600E mutations in Erdheim-Chester disease but not in other non-Langerhans cell histiocytoses. *Blood* 120, 2700–2703.
 33. Davies, H., Bignell, G.R., Cox, C., Stephens, P., Edkins, S., Clegg, S., Teague, J., Woffendin, H., Garnett, M.J., Bottomley, W., Davis, N., Dicks, E., Ewing, R., Floyd, Y., Gray, K., Hall, S., Hawes, R., Hughes, J., Kosmidou, V., Menzies, A., Mould, C., Parker, A., Stevens, C., Watt, S., Hooper, S., Wilson, R., Jayatilake, H., Gusterson, B.A., Cooper, C., Shipley, J., Hargrave, D., Pritchard-Jones, K., Maitland, N., Chenevix-Trench, G., Riggins, G.J., Bigner, D.D., Palmieri, G., Cossu, A., Flanagan, A., Nicholson, A., Ho, J.W., Leung, S.Y., Yuen, S.T., Weber, B.L., Seigler, H.F., Darrow, T.L., Paterson, H., Marais, R., Marshall, C.J., Wooster, R., Stratton, M.R., Futreal, P.A., 2002. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature* 417, 949–954.
 34. El-Osta, H., Falchook, G., Tsimberidou, A., Hong, D., Naing, A., Kim, K., Wen, S., Janku, F., Kurzrock, R., 2011. BRAF mutations in advanced cancers: clinical characteristics and outcomes. *PLoS ONE* 6, e25806.
 35. Brose, M.S., Volpe, P., Feldman, M., Kumar, M., Rishi, I., Gerrero, R., Einhorn, E., Herlyn, M., Minna, J., Nicholson, A., Roth, J.A., Albelda, S.M., Davies, H., Cox, C., Brignell, G., Stephens, P., Futreal, P.A., Wooster, R., Stratton, M.R., Weber, B.L., 2002. BRAF and RAS mutations in human lung cancer and melanoma. *Cancer Res.* 62, 6997–7000.
 36. Ohtake, A., Aoki, Y., Saito, Y., Niihori, T., Shibuya, A., Kure, S., Matsubara, Y., 2011. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma in a patient with cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome. *J. Pediatr. Hematol. Oncol.* 33, e342–e346.
 37. Niihori, T., Aoki, Y., Narumi, Y., Neri, G., Cave, H., Verloes, A., Okamoto, N., Hennekam, R.C., Gillessen-Kaesbach, G., Wiczorek, D., Kavamura, M.I., Kurosawa, K., Ohashi, H., Wilson, L., Heron, D., Bonneau, D., Corona, G., Kaname, T., Naritomi, K., Baumann, C., Matsumoto, N., Kato, K., Kure, S., Matsubara, Y., 2006. Germline KRAS and BRAF mutations in cardio faciocutaneous syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 294–296.
 38. Gulboy A. Nasir, Alaa K. Mohammed, Hasan F. Samir., 2016. Biosynthesis and Characterization of Silver Nanoparticles Using Olive Leaves Extract and

- Sorbitol. Iraqi Journal of Biotechnology, 15, (1), 22-32.
39. Tuffery, A.A., 1987. Laboratory Animals: an introduction for new experimenters. Wiley & Sons Ltd., Great Britain.
40. Mustafa, R. M.; Mostafa, Y.M. and Ennaceur, A. 2002. Effect of exposure to extremely low- Frequency magnetic field of 2G intensity on memory and corticosterone level in rats. *Physiology & Behavior*, 76: 589-595.
41. Junqueira, L. C. and Carneiro, J., 2003. Basic Histology, Tenth Edition. The McGraw-Hill companies: USA.
42. Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data using Real-time Quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ Method. *Methods* 25(4):402-408.
43. Shashi Pratap Singh, C.S. Bhargava, Vishal Dubey, Ashish Mishra, Yatendra Singh, 2017. Silver nanoparticles: Biomedical applications, toxicity, and safety issues. *International Journal of Research in Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2 (4): 01-10.
44. R.C. da Silva, H.S.C. de Paula, C.B.Q.S. Leal, B.C.R. Cunha, E.C. de Paula, R.C.G. Alencar, A.J. Meneghini, A.M.T.C. Silva, A.P. Gontijo, I.J. Wastowski and V.A. Saddi, 2015. BRAF overexpression is associated with BRAF V600E mutation in papillary thyroid carcinomas. *Genetics and Molecular Research* 14 (2): 5065-5075.
45. Zender L, Villanueva A, Tovar V, Sia D, Chiang DY, Llovet JM., 2010. Cancer gene discovery in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol*, 52: 921-9.
46. Llovet JM, Bruix J., 2008. Molecular targeted therapies in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology*, 48:1312-27.
47. Aravalli RN, Cressman EN, Steer CJ., 2013. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. *Arch Toxicol*, 87: 227-47.
48. Ito Y, Sasaki Y, Horimoto M, Wada S, Tanaka Y, Kasahara A, *et al.*, 1998. Activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases/extracellular signal-regulated kinases in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology*, 27:951-8.
49. Calvisi DF, Ladu S, Gorden A, Farina M, Conner EA, Lee JS, *et al.*, 2006. Ubiquitous activation of Ras and Jak/Stat pathways in human HCC. *Gastroenterology*, 130:1117-28.
50. Schmidt CM, McKillop IH, Cahill PA, Sitzmann JV., 1997. Increased MAPK expression and activity in primary human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 236:54-8.
51. C Michaloglou, LCW Vredeveld, WJ Mooi and DS Peeper, 2008. BRAFE600 in benign and malignant human tumors. *Oncogene*, 27, 877-895.
52. Mercer K, Giblett S, Green S, Lloyd D, DaRocha Dias S, Plumb M, *et al.*, 2005. Expression of endogenous oncogenic V600EB-raf induces proliferation and developmental defects in mice and transformation of primary fibroblasts. *Cancer Res*, 65: 11493-11500.
53. Ahn, J.H.; Lee, Y.W.; Ahn, S.K.; Lee, M., 2014. Oncogenic BRAF inhibitor UAI-201 induces cell cycle arrest and autophagy in BRAF mutant glioma cells. *Life Sci*, 104, 38-46.
54. Lyustikman, Y.; Momota, H.; Pao, W.; Holland, E.C., 2008. Constitutive activation of Raf-1 induces glioma formation in mice. *Neoplasia (New York, NY)*, 10, 501-510.
55. Raabe, E.H.; Lim, K.S.; Kim, J.M.; Meeker, A.; Mao, X.G.; Nikkhah, G.; Maciaczyk, J.; Kahlert, U.; Jain, D.; Bar, E.; *et al.*, 2011. BRAF activation induces transformation and then senescence in human neural stem cells: A pilocytic astrocytoma model. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res*, 17, 3590-3599.
56. Felix Behling and Jens Schittenhelm., 2019. Oncogenic BRAF Alterations and Their Role in Brain Tumors. *Cancers*, 11, 794.
57. A Raman, SC Parnell, E Daniel, A Khanna, Y Dai, G Reif, TA Fields, DP Wallace., 2018. Expression of Activated BRAF Induces Cyst Formation and Accelerates Disease Progression in ADPKD mice. *FASIB Journal* 31 (1_supplement), 1032.7-1032.7.