# The Effect of Treating Barley Straw with Fungus (*Trichoderma Harzianum*) (1): on Growth and Some Carcasses Characteristics of Awassi Lambs

S.N. Alwaeli<sup>1</sup>, W.H. Al-Samaraee<sup>2\*</sup>, M.J.H. Al-Tamemmy<sup>1</sup> and Y.M. Al-Saadi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Office of Agricultural Research, Ministry of Agricultural, Baghdad, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup>College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, Univ. of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

\*Email: wafaa.h@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.Iq

#### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted at the farm of Ruminants Research Station / Office of Agricultural Research / Ministry of Agriculture / in Abu Ghraib - Baghdad. The experiment was continued for 60 days in addition to the adaptation period for 14 days to study the effect of treating barley straw with fungus (Trichoderma harzianum) (1): on growth and some carcasses characteristics of Awassi lambs. Ten Awassi lambs were used in this experiment average age is 8-9 months and her average weight is 38 ± 0.50 were divided randomly into two equal groups, and then put with individual pens. Lambs were fed individually on a unified concentrate diet (2.5% of body weight). While the roughages offered on ad libitum basis. Each group were fed on roughages (barley straw), as follows: 1. The First group was a control group fed on untreated barley straw. 2. The second group was fed on barley straw treated with Trichoderma harzianum at rate 0.1% (1 kg /tones). At the end of the experiment the lambs were prepared for slaughter and were fasted for a while 14 an hour before the slaughter, with the continued provision of water for it Jand immediately before the slaughter, the lambs were weighed to weight before the slaughter, then they stabilize the live were slaughtered, and all weights of the carcass have been taken, and weights of major and minor parts. An analysis of the statistical data using the program of statistical analysis (SAS) and the experience was the use of (CRD). The results showed that different effects among treatments as follow: the fungi treatment (T2) significantly outperformed (P < 0.05) in both the efficiency of food conversion, daily gain weight (g / day), total gain weight (g / day) and the total weight increase (kg / day) which amounted to (13.75, 131.55 and 7.37 respectively) compared to the control treatment (T1) Which amounted to (17.29, 94.64 and 5.30 respectively), and indicate a significant increase (P < 0.05) in the dressing percentage based on live weight of (T2) Compared to the control treatment (T2) that amounted (50,47 and 45.59 respectively), shows a significant increase (P < 0.05) in proportion of shoulder piece in (T2) compared to (T1) That amounted (20.40 and 15.26 respectively), while a significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the proportion of a loin piece in (T1) compared to (T2), as for the effect of the treatment on the proportion of the minor pieces, shows a significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the proportions of fore shank, flank, and the total proportion of the minor pieces in the (T2), recorded (4. 29 2. 61 and 26. 44 respectively) compared to (T1) (8.46, 4.81 and 30.42 respectively), That amounted (9.48 and 7.70 respectively), while there are no significant differences in the rest of the traits.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The researchers aimed to improve the value of poor quality feed to be used in animal nutrition, Therefore, chemical treatments were used, and it was later found that the resulting improvement was associated with an increase in phenolic compounds, a decrease in the number of anaerobic bacteria, and an increase in the pH of rumen, and the increase of free lignin (Al-Samarrai, 2001), and thus this affects the activity of microorganisms inside the rumen, so it is imperative to find alternatives to take advantage of these feeds. Therefore, researchers directed to use biological treatments for the purpose of improving the feed, as some researchers used the probiotic as additives Forage (Al-Saady, 2009) or the use of the probiotic as a direct treatment for the feed (Alwaeli et al., 2017), and yeast has also been used as a biological treatment (Al-Samaraee et al., 2019), as well as the use of fungi to improve feed value (Al-Samaraae and Alwaeli, 2016), while others resorted to using enzymes (Almaamory, 2016) and (Rida, 2017), biological treatments help to break the link between lignin and

**Keywords:** Treating Barley Straw, Fungus, *Trichoderma Harzianum*, Awassi Lambs

#### Correspondence:

W.H. Al-Samaraee College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, Univ. of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

\*Email: wafaa.h@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.Iq

cellulose, and increase free cellulose to make it easier to use by ruminants (Mahesh and Mohini, 2013).

The *Trichoderma harzianum* of the fungi under investigation that were used in biological treatments to broke down the link between lignin and cellulose (Alwaeli, 2016), Consequently, the improvement in the value of feed and the increase in the benefit of the animals from it leads to an improvement in the quality of the carcasses (Morteza et al., 2010), so this experiment was designed to study The effect of treating barley straw with fungus (*Trichoderma harzianum*) on growth and some carcasses characteristics of Awassi lambs.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the field of the Ruminant Research Station / Office of Agricultural Research/ Ministry of Agriculture in Abu Ghraib - Baghdad and continued the experiment for 60 days and add the pretrial period for14 a day to study the effect of treatment on the specific characteristics of Awassi lambs. Used were 10 lambs average age is 8-9 months and her average weight is  $38 \pm 0.50$  randomly divided into two groups, and each lamb was placed in a single cage with dimensions  $1.25 \times 1.25$  m, the lambs underwent a twoweek introductory phase during which all the lambs were fed with the standard feed (tables 1 and 2), Provided concentrated diet by 2.5% of body weight. The lambs were weighed every two weeks and the concentrated feed was adjusted on the basis of weight. The roughages offered *ad libitum*, and the net provision of water continuously and underwent all the lambs of Vaccines approved in the vaccination schedule followed in the field, and divided groups as next one:

- 1- First group (T1): Control group was fed lambs on barley straw untreated.
- 2- The second group (T2): The lambs were fed on barley straw treated with fungi *Trichoderma harzianum* (T. *h*.)

The barley straw was divided into two parts and its moisture increased to 70% by spraying water on it, and each part was treated as follows: -

1- The first part not treated is presented to the control group that is used to offer a comparison.

2- The second part was treated with the fungus *Trichoderma harzianum* at a rate of 0.1% (1 kg per ton of barley straw) the ingredients were dissolved in water (100 g / 10 liters). The liquid containing the fungi was sprayed on the barley straw and turned to ensure that the fungi were distributed over it.

After spraying the treatment materials, the barley straw was covered with a black nylon bag to prevent sunlight and air and left for two weeks, after which the nylon bag was removed from the barley straw and left to dry, and then the barley straw was crushed for the purpose of its use and presented to the experimental Lambs.

Feed material	Percentage %	DM	Ash	СР	CF	EE	NFE	TDN	ME MJ / kg DM
Barley	48	92.3	4.33	11.16	7.26	1.52	75.73	66.50	10.507
yellow corn	20	90.1	2.66	9.99	2.66	4.77	79.92	74.53	12.003
Wheat bran	20	90.1	5.66	17.09	11.99	4.55	60.71	70.73	11.295
soybean meal	10	90.1	4.99	49.39	6.55	2.22	36.85	81.77	13.352
stone limestone	1								
Nacl	1								

Table 1: The concentrated diet ingredients used in feeding lambs and the chemical analysis of each feed material

The energy metabolized was calculated according to the following equation:

ME (MJ/kg DM) =  $[-0.45 + (0.04453 \times \% \text{ TDN})] \times 4.184$ 

TDN (DM%) = -17.2649+1.2120(%CP) +0.8352%NFE+2.4637% EE+0.4475 % CF, (Kearl, 1982)

Table 2: Chemical composition of barley straw (treated and non-treated) and concentrated diet (% of dry matter)

Transaction	% DM	% Ash	% CP	% CF	% EE	% NFE	% NDF	% ADF	% ADL	% Hemi	Cell%	TDN	ME MJ / kg DM
T1	90.83	14.46	4.17	64.47	1.38	15.52	63.68	42.02	24.08	21.66	17.94	33.00	2.82
T2	90.80	14.99	4.92	66.00	1.29	12.80	65.05	49.08	25.92	15.97	23.16	32.10	2.69
Concentrate d diet	89.91	7.72	12.33	13.31	5.23	61.40	41.1	18.5	5.02	22.56	13.52	67.81	10.48

The energy metabolized was calculated according to the following equation:

ME (MJ/kg DM) =  $[-0.45 + (0.04453 \times \% \text{ TDN})] \times 4.184$ 

TDN (DM%) = -17.2649+1.2120(%CP) +0.8352%NFE+2.4637% EE+0.4475 % CF, (Kearl, 1982) T1 Control treatment T2 Treatment of fungue

T1, Control treatment. T2, Treatment of fungus

At the end of the experiment the lambs were prepared for slaughter and were fasted for a while 14 an hour before the slaughter, with the continued provision of water for it and immediately before the slaughter, the lambs were weighed to stabilize the live weight before the slaughter, then they were slaughtered, and the weights of the slaughtering residues (skin, head, and legs) were recorded, as well as the carcass cavity and the weight of all internal organs were calculated. and the weight of the digestive system is full and empty (to calculate the weight of the contents of the digestive system), and the hot carcasses were weighed within less than an hour after slaughter, then they were placed in the cold room under a temperature 4 °C for 24 hour later, the cold carcasses were weighed, then the kidneys, kidney fat, Pelvis, and Fat Tail were separated and weighed separately, after which each carcass was divided into two halves, where the left half was cut to 4 major parts (Leg, Loin, Ribs, and Shoulder) and 4 minor parts (Neck, Fore shank, Breast, and Flank) (Forrest et al., 1975).

The experimental data analyzed statistically by complete random design (CRD) and compared the significant differences between averages by Duncan test (Duncan, 1955), using statistical program SAS (SAS, 2012). According to the following mathematical model: Yij =  $\mu$  + ti + eij As: Yij = View value j Per transaction i  $\mu$  = The overall mean of the trait ti = Treatment effect eij = Random error that is normally distributed with mean equal to zero and variance of its magnitude  $\sigma^2$  e

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results are shown in Table 3 that the fungi treatment (T2) significantly difference (P < 0.05) in both the

efficiency of food conversion, daily gain weight (g / day) and total gain weight (kg / day) which amounted to (13.75, 131.55 and 7.37 respectively) compared to the control treatment (T1) Which amounted to (17.29, 94.64 and 5.30 respectively), while the transaction outperformed (T2) arithmetical in the final weight compared to the control treatment (46.33 and 43.83 respectively), which may be due to the improvement in the efficiency of the feed conversion in (T2) in which fungi were used and thus increased the benefit of ruminants from the provided feed, despite the low intake of concentrated and roughages feed arithmetical.

	-		
Transaction	T1	T2	sign
Initial weight (kg)	$38.53 \pm 4.34$	38.97 ± 3.36	N.S.
Final weight (kg)	$43.83 \ \pm 2.40$	46.33 ± 4.04	N.S.
Total gain weight (kg)	$5.30\pm1.16$ b	7.37 ± 0.69 a	*
Daily gain weight (g / day)	$94.64 \pm 1.16 \text{ b}$	131.55 ± 0.69 a	*
Roughages intake total (kg/DM)	34.02 ± 2.86	31.86 ± 2.02	N.S.
concentrated diet intake (kg/DM)	57.63 ± 1.32	46.77 ± 0.65	N.S.
Food conversion efficiency	1 7. 29 ± 2.3 0 a	13.75 ± 1.30 b	*

Table 3: The effect of treating barley straw with *Trichoderma harzianum* fungi in the weights of the Awassi lambs, the total and daily weight gain, the amount of feed intake, and the efficiency of the feed conversion for different treatments.

\*The different letters within the same row indicate the presence of significant differences (P <0.05) Between transactions N.S. non-significant

Various studies have shown that biological treatments led to an improvement in daily gain weights increases this may be due to the effect of the treatment on the efficiency of microorganisms in the digestion of organic matter (Abdel-Azim et al., 2011), and thus increase food conversion efficiency (Alwaeli, 2016), and stated Gado et al. (2007) that the significant increase in the concentration of cellulase enzyme may be the reason for the high average body gain weight when feeding animals on the biological treated feed.

The results in Table 4 indicate a significant increase (P < 0.05) in the dressing percentage based on live weight

of (T2) Compared to the control group (T2) that amounted (50,47 and 45.59 respectively), while other characteristics did not show any significant difference between the treatments, but there is an arithmetical difference in the dressing percentage based on the empty weight of the (T2) Compared to (T1) recorded (71.76 and 69.06 respectively), and the increase in the dressing percentage may be due to its association with the weight at slaughter, which has a significant effect on this proportion (Benchaar et al., 2009).

Table 4: The effect of treating barley straw with *Trichoderma harzianum* fungi in the live weight of lambs at slaughter, empty weight, carcasses weights, the proportion of Fat Tail and dressing percentage.

Transaction Adjective	T1	T2	sign
Live weight (kg)	$1.01 \ \pm 47.25$	$1.95\pm43$	N.S.
Empty Weight (kg)	$0.67\pm31.19$	$0.\ 30\ \pm 30.24$	N.S.
Hot carcass weight (kg)	$\textbf{0.84} \pm \textbf{21.81}$	$\textbf{0.29} \pm \textbf{21.90}$	N.S.
Cold carcass weight (kg)	$0.73 \pm 21.55$	$\textbf{0.29} \pm \textbf{21.70}$	N.S.
Proportion of Fat Tail to weight of cold carcass (%)	$0.\ 61\pm8.63$	$0.42\pm7.66$	N.S.
Dressing percentage based on live weight (%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 98\pm45.59\\ b\end{array}$	0.67±50.47 a	*
Dressing percentage based on empty weight (%)	$1.54\pm69.06$	$0.25\pm71.76$	N.S.

\*The different letters within the same row indicate the presence of significant differences (P < 0.05) Between transactions

## N.S. non-significant

Table 5 shows a significant increase (P < 0.05) in proportion of shoulder piece in (T2) compared to (T1) that amounted (20.40 and 15.26 respectively), while a significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the proportion of a loin piece in T1 compared to T2 That amounted (9. 48 and 7. 70 respectively), and there is no significant difference in the proportion of both the leg and rack, with a superior arithmetical in total of proportions major pieces. As for the effect of the treatment on the proportion of the minor pieces. A significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the proportions of fore shank, flank, and the total proportion of the minor pieces in the (T2), recorded (4. 29, 2. 61 and 26. 44 respectively) compared to (T1) (8. 46, 4. 81 and 30. 42 respectively), While there was no significant difference in the proportion of the neck and breast pieces.

The treatment may not have a direct effect on the proportion of the carcass pieces, and this effect may be through improving the digestion of the lambs fed on barley straw treated with fungi, and that there is an inverse relationship between the major and minor pieces, as the height of one leads to a decrease in the other (Al-Tamemmy et al., 2017)

Table 5: The effect of treating barley straw with *Trichoderma harzianum* fungi in the proportion of carcass pieces proportionto half of the cold carcass removed Fat Tail.

Transaction Adjective	T1	T2	sign					
Major Pieces%								
Leg	$0.87\pm33.40$	$0.27\pm33.42$	N.S.					
Loin	0.57±9.48 a	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 33\pm7.\ 90\\ b\end{array}$	*					
Rack	$0.84\pm10.56$	$0.95\pm10.82$	N.S.					
Shoulder	0. 57 ±15. 16 b	0.23 ± 20.60 a	*					
Total	$0.91\pm68.6$	$0.\ 66\pm72.\ 74$	N.S.					
Minor Pieces%								
Neck	$0.65 \pm 8.34$	$0.\ 77\pm7.\ 97$	N.S.					
Fore shank	0. 95 ± 8. 36 a	0. 12 ± 4. 49 b	*					
Breast	$0.\ 55\pm10.\ 39$	$0.56\pm11.96$	N.S.					
Flank	0.38±4.31 a	0. 35 ± 2. 84 b	*					
Total	0. 91 ± 31.4 a	$\begin{array}{c} 0.85\pm27.26\\ b\end{array}$	*					

\* The different letters within the same row indicate the presence of significant differences (P < 0.05) Between transactions N.S. non-significant

From the above, we conclude that the treatment with *Trichoderma harzianum* fungi It improved some characteristics of the carcass, and we recommend that more studies be carried out using different proportions of fungi and on other types of forages.

### REFERENCES

- 1. ABDEL-AZIM, S. N., AHMED, M. A., ABO-DONIA, F. & SOLIMAN, H. 2011. Evaluation of fungal treatment of some agricultural residues. *Egyptian Journal of Sheep and Goats Sciences*, 6, 1-16.
- 2. AL-SAADY, Y. M. O. 2009. Effect of Probiotic Addition and Substituting Reed Silage for Alfalfa Hay in the Ration on Awassi Lambs Performance. Master, College of Agriculture/ University of Baghdad.
- 3. AL-SAMARAAE, W. H. & ALWAELI, S. N. 2016. Effect of treated barley straw with Trichoderma Harzianum fungi in some productive characteristic. *International Journal of Latest Research in Science and Technology*, 5, 44-48.

- 4. AL-SAMARAEE, W., AHMED, A., HUSSEIN, H., ALWAELI, S. & AL-SAMARAEE, W. 2019. Effect of Trichoderma harzianum, on chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of crop residues. *Plant Archives*, 19, 3623-3628.
- 5. AL-SAMARRAI, W. H. A. S. 2001. *Study the effect of some chemicals to improve the nutritional value of crushed yellow corn.* Master, College of Agriculture/ University of Baghdad.
- 6. AL-TAMEMMY, M., AL-SAMARAEE, W., AL-WAELI, S. N. & AL-SAADI, Y. M. 2017. EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF BLACK SEED (Nigella sativa) AND ROSEMARY (Rosmarinus officinalis) SUPPLEMENTATION ON GROWTH AND SOME CARCASSES CARACTERISTICS OF AWASSI LAMBS. IRAQ JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE, 22.
- 7. ALMAAMORY, Y. A. H. 2016. Effect of Using Different Roughage and Enzymes Sources on Awassi Lambs Performance during Summer Season. Master, College of Agriculture/ University of Baghdad.

- 8. ALWAELI, S., AL-SAMARAAE, W. & AL-SAADY, Y. 2017. Effect of Barley Straw Treatment with Probiotic on Some Productive Characteristic. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 8, 308-313.
- 9. ALWAELI, S. N. A. 2016. Effect of Barley Straw Treatment with Trichoderma harzianum Fungi, Iraqi Probiotic and Baker's Yeast on Awassi Lambs Performance. Master, College of Agriculture/ University of Baghdad.
- BENCHAAR, C., HRISTOV, A. & GREATHEAD, H. 2009. Essential oils as feed additives in ruminnat nutrition in Phytogenics in Animal Nutrition; Steiner, T., Ed. Nuttingham University Press: Notingham, UK.
- 11. DUNCAN, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, 11, 1-42.
- FORREST, J. C., ABERLE, E. D., HEDRICK, H. B., JUDGE, M. D. & MERKEL, R. A. 1975. *Principles of meat science*, WH Freeman and Co.
- GADO, H., METWALLY, H., EL BASIONY, A., SOLIMAN, H. & ABD EL GALIL, E. R. 2007. EFFECT OF BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS ON SUGARCANE BAGASSE DIGESTIBILITY AND PERFORMANCE OF BALADI GOATS. *Egyptian J. Nutrition and feeds*, 10, 535-551.
- 14. KEARL, L. C. 1982. *Nutrient requirements of ruminants in developing countries*, International Feedstuffs Institute.
- 15. MAHESH, M. & MOHINI, M. 2013. Biological treatment of crop residues for ruminant feeding: A review. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 12.
- MORTEZA, K., ABD RAZAK, A., GOH, Y., AWIS, Q. S. & IVAN, M. 2010. Effects of dietary herbal antioxidants supplemented on feedlot growth performance and carcass composition of male goats. *American Journal* of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, 5, 33-39.
- RIDA, M. F. M. 2017. Effect of Using Different Roughages Treated with Enzymes in Quantity and Quality Characteristics of Awassi Lambs Carcasses. Master, College of Agriculture/ University of Baghdad.
- 18. SAS 2012. SAS Users Guide : Statistics, SAS Inst. Cary, USA.